

Governor's Capital Expenditure Cash Fund proposal – Summary and questions

Joint Fiscal Office, 01/27/2022

What has been requested?

1. The Administration is asking for the creation of a capital expenditures cash fund (CECF) to use in lieu of some capital bill borrowing
 - a. Cash fund would need to be created (Institutions committees)
 - b. Money would need to be transferred into fund (Appropriations committees)
 - i. Administration has asked for \$6,525,000 in FY23
 1. \$6,200,000 one-time General Fund
 2. \$325,000 in interest savings (see proposal 2)
 - c. Appropriations would need to be made from fund (Institutions and Appropriations)
 - i. Administration has asked to spend \$6,288,000 in FY23
 - d. Future funding would be directed through statute
 - i. 4% of prior year General Fund appropriations minus general obligation debt service in budget year
 - ii. Example – In 2023 session the amount would be 4% of FY23 GF approps. minus FY24 GO debt service

Potential questions

- *What would happen in a really good General Fund year?*
- *What would happen in a really bad General Fund year? With proposed allocation construct how would a negative allocation work?*
- *Potential for floor/ceiling on amounts going to fund?*
- *How will CDAAC and rating agencies react? What is more impactful – the fund or the statutory allocation?*
- *Does GF percent allocation need to be in statute? Or annual rate recommendation from CDAAC or someone else?*
- *Who is best suited to administer fund?*
- *Does GO debt service include all funds – General, Transportation, other?*

2. The Administration is asking for \$20 million one-time to pay down callable bond debt
 - a. Appropriations would need to be made (Appropriations committee)
 - i. Estimated \$325,000 in interest savings in FY23 (\$1.96m total estimated interest savings through FY29)
 - ii. Interest savings would reduce debt service in future years (through FY29) which would increase funding to new cash fund – if approved

Potential questions

- *How would overall picture be impacted with more/less one-time bond buybacks?*
- *How would cash fund be impacted if this were not approved?*

3. The Administration is asking for new language in statute that restricts use of future bond premiums on capital projects to only 50% of the amount of the premium
 - a. Language would need to go into capital bill or big bill (Institutions and Appropriations)
 - b. Interest and principal savings on unused premium would help capitalize cash fund
 - i. Administration has left \$10.2m of the 2021 issuance bond premium out of the capital bill adjustment. Estimated \$510,000 in premium savings annually plus interest savings, which varies

Potential questions

- *What happens to bond premium if it isn't used? Do we call the bonds back in that amount, or invest the money, or something else?*
- *What is the likelihood of bond premiums in our future issuances?*
- *Maybe ask for a presentation from Administration/Treasurer regarding the mechanics of bond premium*

4. The Administration is asking that future capital bill spend \$7 million less on an annual basis
 - a. Wouldn't be a statutory requirement just a consideration for future governors and legislatures
 - b. This will be the big driver for future monies to the capital cash fund
 - i. Each year we do not borrow that extra \$7m the saved principal and interest payments are not a part of the annual debt obligation, which allows for more money to go into cash fund (in good genera fund years)

Potential questions

- *With all of the moving pieces between proposals 1-4, what is the likelihood that everything transpires as has been proposed?*