

From: Mears, David
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2012 2:48 PM
To: MacLean, Alex
CC: Markowitz, Deb; Recchia, Chris
Subject: Re: Fee Bill Follow Up.

1. I spoke with Janet yesterday and IBM is still working out the impact on them. She indicated that they would not oppose the increase and I agreed to discuss ways we can make sure IBM is getting timely permit decisions. They have one air permit in particular that they need a decision on quickly and I am following up on that.

2. The clean water act impact is just the first shoe to drop and more cuts are expected to come. Janet did not mention anything to me indicating that they do not believe that our federal funding will be reduced over the coming year.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 27, 2012, at 10:53 AM, "MacLean, Alex" <Alex.MacLean@state.vt.us> wrote:

> What's the deal here?

>

> Alexandra MacLean

> Secretary of Civil and Military Affairs

> 1-802-272-0443

>

> From: Tom Torti [tom@vermont.org]

> Sent: Friday, January 27, 2012 10:51 AM

> To: MacLean, Alex

> Subject: Fee Bill Follow Up.

>

> Hey:

>

> So IBM has been doing research on why ANR fees are going up. Below is what I have received from them. I wanted you to be aware of what might start getting traction.

>

>

> "They are certainly worked up about it. Apparently, they have done research on federal EPA cuts that the Administration is blaming the fee increases on. The only cut they find is \$240,000 from the clean water act and Janet is wondering if we could make the point that we should wait to implement fee increases until we know the full scope of fed cuts.

>

> Could wait til next year, she believes."

>

>

>

> Tom T
>