

CONFIDENTIAL
LEGISLATIVE BILL REVIEW FORM: 2014

Bill Number: H.413 Name of Bill: An act relating to the Uniform Collateral Consequences of Conviction Act

Agency/Dept: DPS/CJS/VCIC Author of Bill Review: Jeffrey Wallin

Date of Bill Review: 2/25/2014 Status of Bill: (check one):

☒ Upon Introduction ☐ As passed by 1st body ☐ As passed by both bodies

Recommended Position:

☐ Support ☐ Oppose ☐ Remain Neutral ☒ Support with modifications identified in # 8 below

Analysis of Bill

1. Summary of bill and issue it addresses. *Describe what the bill is intended to accomplish and why.*

This bill proposes to provide a type of 'rehabilitation' certificate and limited relief from non-Judicial consequences for an individual being convicted of either a misdemeanor or felony in Vermont.

2. Is there a need for this bill? *Please explain why or why not.*

At this time there is no known redress for individuals suffering a non-Judicial consequence of a qualifying conviction (i.e. someone may be barred from holding a type of employment by statute/policy/procedure independent of their actual sentence determined by the Courts).

3. What are likely to be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for this Department?

The fiscal and programmatic implications of the bill as written are meaningful. As written the bill would require DPS/CJS/VCIC to maintain a record of any awarded 'certificates', including modification and retractions. This would require potentially extensive work within existing information technology systems and have an unknown impact on how data might be shared between states and the federal government.

4. What might be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for other departments in state government, and what is likely to be their perspective on it?

It could be anticipated that the Courts would incur significant fiscal and programmatic requirements to implement the required review and documentation process. Additionally it can be reasonably expected that there would be significant involvement from the Attorney General's Office on the exact interactions between any awarded certificate and non-Judicial sanctions currently outlined in state and federal statute. With these clarifications other departments within state government could also be affected (i.e. if eligibility for public assistance was affected by a qualifying conviction then AHS might then become involved).

5. What might be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for others, and what is likely to be their perspective on it? *(for example, public, municipalities, organizations, business, regulated entities, etc)*

Other groups that deal with affected individuals would likely be forced to balance the effects of this bill with either their mission or available resources. For example an agency that provides housing assistance would likely have to balance the effect of an order on: the individual affected, total available resources, and liability should the covered individual re-offend within the scope of the program.

6. Other Stakeholders:

6.1 Who else is likely to support the proposal and why?

Please return this bill review as a Microsoft Word or PDF document to laura.gray@state.vt.us

Certain Offender Rights Organizations, Organizations with a strong rehabilitation motivation

6.2 Who else is likely to oppose the proposal and why?

Victim's Rights Organizations, the At-Large Law Enforcement Community

7. Rationale for recommendation: *Justify recommendation stated above.*

As written the bill would place a significant burden on VCIC to promulgate these certificates as part of a criminal background record. This would place not only a significant burden on the department but also limit the effectiveness of relief as there could be many applications where a criminal record check is not allowed/available/required.

8. Specific modifications that would be needed to recommend support of this bill: *Not meant to rewrite bill, but rather, an opportunity to identify simple modifications that would change recommended position.*

In brief, require the constituent agencies (DPS, Courts, Other State Agencies, Other Community Agencies) to form a working group to determine the best way to house and promulgate the certificates. Information could then be shared as part of the criminal record check process to alert all involved of the potential of such certificates and the method for obtaining/verifying them.

Secretary/Commissioner has reviewed this document



Date: 3/11/14

Please return this bill review as a Microsoft Word or PDF document to laura.gray@state.vt.us