

From: Springer, Darren [Darren.Springer@vermont.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 9:02 AM
To: Gray, Laura
CC: Coriell, Scott
Subject: Re: Divestment memo

Ok if you are ok with it maybe we let it be. Better that we get something moving

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 15, 2016, at 8:57 AM, Gray, Laura <Laura.Gray@vermont.gov> wrote:

I think that the new:

(NEW) We ask that by May 10, 2016 you provide the Senate and House Committees on Government Operations with an outline of action steps the subcommittee expects to undertake as part of this process.

is better than:

we request that you report to the senate and house committees by may 2, 2016 concerning your recommendations and time frame and process to be followed to complete the analysis.

To me the latter says action steps and time frame for an analysis not for the goals of the document. But let's try to get May 2, rather than 10 back in.

For the new one, what about:

We ask that by May **2**, 2016 you provide the Senate and House Committees on Government Operations with an outline of action steps **and a time frame** that the subcommittee expects to undertake as part of this process.

From: Springer, Darren
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 8:42 AM
To: Gray, Laura
Cc: Coriell, Scott
Subject: Re: Divestment memo

Looks weaker am I right? No report till leg leaves and just report on steps not decisions? Are we at least getting R's on committee for this?

Sent from my iPhone

> On Mar 15, 2016, at 8:20 AM, Gray, Laura <Laura.Gray@vermont.gov> wrote:

>
> This looks perfect to me, ok with you guys? Can we touch base today on getting other senators involved and presser?
>
>
> _____
> From: Anthony Pollina <apollina@sover.net>
> Sent: Monday, March 14, 2016 8:06 PM
> To: Jeanette White; Gray, Laura; Robb Kidd
> Subject: Divestment memo
>
> Hi attached is a slightly changed memo...
> It keeps Exxon and reference to the House resolution and rewrites the report back provision.
> If we keep Exxon we lose Brian and probably Joe.
> Question is can we keep Exxon and get 15 Senators to sign?
> Personally I think the report back on the process is important to make sure they actually do something.
> Talk tomorrow...
> Anthony
>
> <Divestment memo.docx>
>
>
>
> Anthony Pollina
> Vermont Senate
> Washington County