

CONFIDENTIAL
LEGISLATIVE BILL REVIEW FORM: 2015

Bill Number: S.101 Name of Bill: An act to expand parole for those with a serious medical condition and for those sentenced for an offense committed as a juvenile.

Agency/Dept: DPS/VSP Author of Bill Review: Lt. Brian Miller

Date of Bill Review: 02.23.15 Related Bills and Key Players: _____

Status of Bill: (check one)

☒ Upon Introduction ☐ As passed by 1st body ☐ As passed by both bodies

Recommended Position:

☐ Support ☐ Oppose ☒ Remain Neutral ☐ Support with modifications identified in # 8 below

Analysis of Bill

1. **Summary of bill and issue it addresses.** This bill will expand who is eligible for parole to inmates who have a serious medical condition that requires hospital visits on a bi-weekly basis and the inmate is designated low risk. Also inmates who were under 18 then the offense was committed and they have served 15 years and is determined to be low risk.
2. **Is there a need for this bill?** No, it does not seem like there are many people who are in the narrow category defined in this proposed law. It seems that there are many programs that corrections has that would allow those in these categories to be furloughed.
3. **What are likely to be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for this Department?** None
4. **What might be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for other departments in state government, and what is likely to be their perspective on it?** It may save money for corrections as it will not have to house as many people.
5. **What might be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for others, and what is likely to be their perspective on it?** It will increase the number of people who are on parole in the community. If they are not supervised the local communities may not support this. Also there is a lack of housing for parolees.
6. **Other Stakeholders:**
 - 6.1 Who else is likely to support the proposal and why? Prison rights advocates
 - 6.2 Who else is likely to oppose the proposal and why? Some communities that feel that those out of jail are not supervised.

7. Rationale for recommendation: *This bill will not negatively affect Law Enforcement if it is passed.*

8. Specific modifications that would be needed to recommend support of this bill: *none.*

9. Gubernatorial appointments to board or commission?

Secretary/Commissioner has reviewed this document

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Francis J. Aumand".

Date: 02-26-15