


Q1 Regarding House representation in state government, do you prefer single member house districts (one Representative representing 4300 people), or two member house districts (two Representatives in a single district representing 8600 people).


Answered: 631 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
I prefer two member districts.	18.86% 119
I prefer single member districts.	74.96% 473
I have no preference.	6.18% 39
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>631</b>

## Q2 Which of the following statements best reflects your thoughts about single Representative vs. two Representative House districts.

Answered: 631 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I believe all Vermont House districts should be single Representative districts.	59.90%	378
I prefer single Representative House districts, but don't have a problem with two Representative districts.	20.60%	130
I prefer two Representative House districts, but don't have a problem with single Representative districts.	10.30%	65
I believe all Vermont House districts should be two Representative districts.	9.19%	58
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>631</b>

### Q3 If you like, use this space to explain why you prefer single member or two member house districts.

Answered: 346 Skipped: 288

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Because some of us "smaller " towns don't have much of an opportunity to be heard from once our smaller 200-400 person towns get rolled in with a 5000-6000 person town. Like Lamoille County vieing for something over Chittenden County, if they don't want it, we don't have to worry about getting it. Just gives the smaller towns and opportunity to at least be heard.	9/12/2021 4:52 PM
2	Two member more people are heard	9/11/2021 5:21 PM
3	Smaller districts and allows legislators to have a smaller population and community that is easier to connect with barring larger rural districts that could take shape.	9/11/2021 9:18 AM
4	Each town should have one representative. Each county 1 senator. The present system does not fairly represent towns people.	9/10/2021 9:24 PM
5	more personalized and precise,.... less presumed and no transient factor which invalidates norm	9/10/2021 5:03 PM
6	Equality throughout Vermont - a rep from a single rep district has a clearer mandate from the voters as well as a clear focus on who he/she represents	9/10/2021 8:44 AM
7	I feel as though a single member house would be more accountable. Of course, I also feel that the state needs to be more representative, too. That would mean each district would be smaller, too. I do not like how the districts combine drastically different towns and it essentially allows the the populous town the sway in the election.	9/9/2021 7:58 PM
8	Never could understand why some districts had more than one if every 4,300 should get one.	9/9/2021 4:04 PM
9	Direct representation is the best way of ensuring voters voices are heard.	9/9/2021 1:23 PM
10	This is the long game way to ensure folks live in like-minded communities... Which is ideal for all people who contribute to such communities.	9/9/2021 11:15 AM
11	I like how my two reps work as a team. That said, I live in Burlington, where even a two-rep district is relatively small. I could see single-rep districts being more appropriate for rural areas, where a two-rep district would have to cover a large geographic territory.	9/9/2021 10:38 AM
12	Two members gives the people more access to representation, and bad actors less incentive to coerce policy.	9/9/2021 8:48 AM
13	Then the most populated counties can not run rough shod over the least populated counties.	9/9/2021 6:18 AM
14	Single member districts eliminate the good cop bad cop manipulation, representative would be more accountable for their actions. Less likely to point fingers at the other guy, when they stand alone before their constituents.	9/8/2021 9:22 PM
15	Believe it would be better representation with single house & senate reps	9/8/2021 8:26 PM
16	Each district get one rep, Chittenden county is flooded by representatives tipping the scale	9/8/2021 7:13 PM
17	Single member districts are more democratic. One vote, one rep! Let's do it, let's protect our democracy at the polls!	9/8/2021 6:05 PM
18	.Don't need Chittenden County running the state	9/8/2021 5:38 PM
19	More democratic	9/8/2021 5:23 PM
20	i live in huntington	9/8/2021 4:30 PM
21	Huntington needs to be treated fairly! We want true democracy: one person, one vote!	9/8/2021 3:46 PM
22	Single Representatives provide a more representative voice to the many Vermonters who live in small towns.	9/8/2021 10:18 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

23	Two member districts overly favor incumbents - my own two-member district has a well-known incumbent who should have been voted out long ago but who is protected because of the current system.	9/8/2021 8:32 AM
24	it keeps the representative closer to the people he/she represents	9/8/2021 6:08 AM
25	balance; more than one point of view	9/7/2021 11:43 PM
26	N/A	9/7/2021 11:00 PM
27	People living in small towns, as I do, will have a better chance of electing a representative from their own town to represent them. When a small town is lumped in with a larger town to create a two seat district (ex: Waterbury/Huntington) it is unlikely that either seat will ever be filled by someone from the smaller town because of the predominance of voters from the larger town that make up the district. If the smaller town shared a rep with another smaller town, a rep could easily be elected from either town.	9/7/2021 9:13 PM
28	Two representatives have a better chance of reflecting the various opinions that may exist than does one representative.	9/7/2021 9:12 PM
29	I think that having a single representative responsible for each district makes for better accountability and accessibility.	9/7/2021 8:30 PM
30	It is more democratic. However, smaller towns might have more opportunity for direct representation if there are some two member districts.	9/7/2021 7:40 PM
31	I live in a small town, with a population of 2,000. With the current system, we have never had a local person represent us in the statehouse.	9/7/2021 7:31 PM
32	I live in small town and would prefer to have one rep with our best interests in hand as opposed to another town rep "absorbing" us	9/7/2021 6:03 PM
33	As a Huntington resident for 36 years we have always been represented by people far away from our town Currently Our representation is divided in the house by Washington County and the Senate by Addison county. Absurd. We live in Chittenden county! Our legislators have been hard working and an asset to Vt government, but I do not feel adequately represented.	9/7/2021 5:52 PM
34	I live in a small town, Huntington. It has been a while since we had a local resident representing us in Montpelier.	9/7/2021 5:38 PM
35	I prefer single member house districts because then Huntington, and other smaller towns in Vermont, can be represented in a real way.	9/7/2021 5:05 PM
36	I live in Huntington and do not feel we have one-person, one-vote at this time.	9/7/2021 5:04 PM
37	Easier to contact and deal with a single person. Less dilution of effort.	9/7/2021 4:56 PM
38	We need to have equal representative districts for all Vermonters.	9/7/2021 8:22 AM
39	Closer to the people is always better. Single seat prevents a large town from dominating representatives when partnered with smaller town or two.	9/7/2021 8:02 AM
40	Single member seems to offer better representation.	9/6/2021 8:09 AM
41	Opportunity for choice and cross party cooperation	9/5/2021 4:58 PM
42	Accountability and ease of contacting local representatives.	9/5/2021 4:27 PM
43	People might feel free to vote the person of their choice in a single party district whereas in a 2 member district they will tend to follow party lines	9/5/2021 2:45 PM
44	Two member will give them more time with the people in each district.	9/5/2021 1:21 PM
45	Two members check each other's intent	9/5/2021 7:56 AM
46	I prefer a single contact for a smaller group of people to help insure appropriate representation for the district/region. I believe a single point of contact also helps with communications between the representative and constituents.	9/5/2021 7:43 AM
47	Accountability and fairness	9/4/2021 3:18 PM
48	I believe more informed people help to make for good discussion.	9/4/2021 10:30 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

49	A single member is more accountable to a smaller district than two members are to a larger one. Smaller districts should lead to more diversity in the legislature.	9/4/2021 7:11 AM
50	Single member districts give greater voice to the voter and lessen the chance of concerns getting lost amongst a larger two member house district.	9/4/2021 4:27 AM
51	2 member houses aids the public with better discussion and feedback	9/3/2021 7:44 PM
52	I believe there is greater capacity to fully represent the ideas and interests of a community when there is more than one person. When it is just one person there is a greater probability that that person's own opinion's, bias, interests, etc. will be reflected rather than the community interests or policies that reflect best practice based on data and science. I also like the opportunities it provides for collaboration and for the representatives to be more available to the public when you have a potential partner to share the work.	9/3/2021 12:54 PM
53	everyone gets one vote for their representative; now someone can bullet vote in two member districts	9/3/2021 9:12 AM
54	They would be more known by their constituents and therefore more responsive to them.	9/3/2021 8:28 AM
55	Accountability of representatives the voters	9/3/2021 8:26 AM
56	Single house districts provide for a greater accountability.	9/3/2021 5:26 AM
57	single member districts best represent their constituencies	9/2/2021 5:33 PM
58	with fewer people in a district, citizens can more easily have an individual relationship with their House member	9/2/2021 5:28 PM
59	accountability to the voters they represent.	9/2/2021 3:12 PM
60	diversity	9/2/2021 1:45 PM
61	Really don't have a preference.	9/2/2021 1:23 PM
62	Single member districts more accurately represent the will of the electorate, locally. The choices of, say, a rural area's votes would not be swamped by its more populous regional center. Also there is less chance of error if voters choose one candidate to represent them rather than two. Scrutiny shows that there are many blank votes in two member district races.	9/2/2021 1:10 PM
63	The representative needs to know their voters and with smaller districts both the voters and the representative have a greater chance of having this knowledge. The representative has a smaller area to campaign within and more folks would be willing to run for office.	9/2/2021 12:14 PM
64	Single member districts allow the people to focus on one representative; it is more inclusive. There can be no divide and conquer or blaming.	9/2/2021 11:16 AM
65	Perhaps 2 member districts would be less vulnerable to manipulation to either party's advantage. Don't know if that is true.	9/2/2021 10:42 AM
66	Better to have single districts with Town boundaries	9/2/2021 10:10 AM
67	Campaigning is difficult when everything is spread out. Sometimes a 2 member would be ok if town lines were maintained. More than 2 member is never ok.	9/2/2021 10:02 AM
68	We PAY these folks over \$20,000 for four months PLUS the perks that many of them abuse for what? While our neighbor on the east pays a mediocre stipend and that in a state of TWICE as many people.	9/2/2021 9:58 AM
69	I just think that the most important thing is one person one vote. Representation should follow this ideal.	9/2/2021 9:46 AM
70	I believe two representatives can support one another to better represent their districts by sharing ideas, brainstorming plans, meeting the public, etc.	9/2/2021 8:49 AM
71	I am in a 2-member district now and it seems to work. But I would think that a single representative would be more connected and accountable to their district.	9/2/2021 8:36 AM
72	I don't have a problem with single member districts, but feel that two member districts might be more representative of the diversity of views and lead to more constructive engagement with the political process.	9/2/2021 8:34 AM
73	If you believe in electoral college, then this closely resembles, does it not?	9/2/2021 7:57 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

74	I prefer single member house districts because I feel it helps the reps be closer to their constituents, and potentially more accountable, because they represent fewer folks.	9/2/2021 7:37 AM
75	I believe this would be better representation and also be better for a single party member running against two members of the same party. This has to do with the bullet vote especially.	9/2/2021 7:09 AM
76	Better representation of people. When things get too big, it gets out of control.	9/2/2021 7:03 AM
77	The best district is single because the voter has a much more intimate contact with the candidate.	9/2/2021 6:27 AM
78	One voice, one vote will prevent unsavory activities from occurring.	9/2/2021 12:41 AM
79	A single member in a district should have a better understanding of what that district's issues are and what is the will of the people in that district. It's past time for our representatives to truly do what is best for the people who live in the district of representation.	9/1/2021 9:08 PM
80	Single member districts allow you to know exactly who represents you. Because of their size, it allows candidates to meet all the voters in their district via knocking on doors and other in-person effort. The districts that make the least sense are multi-town two member districts. In most cases, these can be fairly easily split into two single member districts. I do understand two-member districts and find acceptable two-member districts that represent a single town, such as Barre Town or Middlebury.	9/1/2021 8:46 PM
81	Two member districts are more likely to represent constituents accurately. If there is only one per district your district is represented by a single perspective and their priorities, opinions, biases weigh into votes and state direction.	9/1/2021 7:06 PM
82	More local, reflects local concerns	9/1/2021 6:49 PM
83	I think you have better representation with a single member district. Your Rep is going to have a better understanding of those he/she is working for and be more accountable to you for their decision making.	9/1/2021 6:46 PM
84	Representatives should be more accountable.	9/1/2021 6:43 PM
85	Advancing representation with two voices---regardless of affiliations---hopefully---abandoning personal agendas!!!	9/1/2021 5:34 PM
86	More local representation with single-member districts -- no at-large reps.	9/1/2021 5:28 PM
87	Time to shake things up, hopefully 2 individuals can work together to serve their district.	9/1/2021 5:19 PM
88	More fair representation for smaller towns, more rural areas.	9/1/2021 5:06 PM
89	Reduce government size	9/1/2021 4:55 PM
90	We need to encourage new candidates to run for office. Sometimes the two incumbents are very hard to beat.	9/1/2021 4:52 PM
91	-	9/1/2021 3:49 PM
92	A single rep for a smaller numbered groups allows for better communication of the groups preferences	9/1/2021 3:39 PM
93	Each representative needs to represent an equal number residents. Costs of running for office are higher for candidates on 2 member districts, again creating additional inequality.	9/1/2021 3:28 PM
94	Accessibility and communication between Representative and their Constituents.	9/1/2021 3:25 PM
95	In a single member you really get to know the rep. and they have first hand Knowledge of our town. In two member districts you have more towns represented which gives a broader scope to their knowledge.	9/1/2021 1:41 PM
96	Local control is important to me.	9/1/2021 12:37 PM
97	Not sure, but I think single member districts are in the advantage of Rural Vermont in its relative position to the Dean of Liberal Iniquity, Chittenden Co & Burlington. "Mostly," in your intro does nothing to elevate our educational image.	9/1/2021 12:35 PM
98	A single member district is, by definition, more grass roots, as each single member has a smaller number of voters to be accountable to.	9/1/2021 12:19 PM
99	We need to have equal access to our representation. We have very different needs between	9/1/2021 12:18 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

districts and when 1 district has more representation, it is not equal. we need to follow VT constitution!

100	Should be better representation of citizens but in VT it still to lop-sided toward democrats and liberal who spend too much money	9/1/2021 12:18 PM
101	More in touch, greater representation.	9/1/2021 12:16 PM
102	Na	9/1/2021 12:11 PM
103	I think that the carving out of ethnic or politically homogeneous neighborhoods/districts would be less likely to happen with the two Representative model.	9/1/2021 11:46 AM
104	I believe smaller districts keep the representatives closer to the constituents.	9/1/2021 11:36 AM
105	I was elected for 7 terms in a 2 member district. My district consisted of 6 towns . This creates a financial disadvantage and the time and energy to run a campaign. Also I could not be as close to my constituents because of the distances in my district. It is better representative for Vermonters to have single member districts.	9/1/2021 11:23 AM
106	I would prefer smaller areas apportioned for single Representatives but have no problem with larger areas having 2 Representatives.	9/1/2021 11:03 AM
107	With multiple representatives for a district, it can be more possible for a minority candidate to be elected.	9/1/2021 11:01 AM
108	It's nice to have two people to go to if you need help with something, in case one is being more unresponsive.	9/1/2021 10:59 AM
109	Sometimes too many cooks spoil the broth	9/1/2021 10:44 AM
110	Better direct representation	9/1/2021 10:37 AM
111	two member districts can allow larger population towns to elect the representatives of smaller towns even if the smaller towns voted for a different candidate	9/1/2021 10:37 AM
112	Single member districts allow for representation from conservative voters where they are concentrated. It allows small conservative blocks to be created whereas a two member district is more likely to have one member of each party, thereby making it less clear what the majority of voters in the district want.	9/1/2021 10:24 AM
113	Keep the representatives as close to the people as possible. The larger the district, the less representation the people have.	9/1/2021 10:22 AM
114	I think a single member for a district is more accountable to its constituents!	9/1/2021 10:14 AM
115	The voters of a district are more likely to have direct contact with a single representative and in their capacity as the ombudsman more likely to give attention to any one voter in their district	9/1/2021 10:14 AM
116	Easier to get to know your representative.	9/1/2021 10:06 AM
117	Better representation.	9/1/2021 9:51 AM
118	It seems clear to me that single member districts permit the representative to most accurately reflect the views of his constituency. As it is now, we have a form of mini-gerrymandering.	9/1/2021 9:48 AM
119	I feel single member districts. As it gives better representation to the Vermonters that live in that district	9/1/2021 9:47 AM
120	Smaller size — more personalized representation	9/1/2021 9:44 AM
121	Thank you	9/1/2021 9:35 AM
122	I believe that single member districts help to tie our legislators the most closely to the community they represent. The larger the district, the more diluted my vote becomes.	9/1/2021 9:34 AM
123	I believe that single member districts help to tie our legislators the mostly closely to the community they represent. The larger the district, the more diluted your vote becomes.	9/1/2021 9:32 AM
124	It will require our representatives to be more responsive to ALL their neighbors.	9/1/2021 9:26 AM
125	Because a representative from the single area knows the issues better for their town rather than a larger area and two reps from the same area or town.	9/1/2021 9:25 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

126	Smaller districts would probably mean a more homogenous population, giving minorities a better chance to be heard.	9/1/2021 9:22 AM
127	We are already overregulated and overtaxed, should manage against government expansion	9/1/2021 9:20 AM
128	2 member house would give better representation	9/1/2021 9:19 AM
129	Balancing the district with 2 reps allows for all voices to be heard	9/1/2021 9:16 AM
130	Single member districts allow for a more personal representation.	9/1/2021 9:10 AM
131	Opportunity to have more than one party voting for my interests.	9/1/2021 9:03 AM
132	Better connection between representative and people the representative represents.	9/1/2021 9:02 AM
133	I don't like the idea of a member that has no connection to my area having a say for my locale.	9/1/2021 9:00 AM
134	I believe that there would be a single point person and voice in the single district model.	9/1/2021 9:00 AM
135	More accountability for local issues	9/1/2021 8:57 AM
136	Multi-legislator districts can have extreme power, and can suppress the minority party from having any say in our government. One town can effectively drive the decisions that impact several towns in an unequitable basis.	9/1/2021 8:52 AM
137	larger districts are not representative of the rest of the state	9/1/2021 8:48 AM
138	Single member representation will more accurately reflect the electorate.	9/1/2021 8:39 AM
139	no comment	9/1/2021 8:38 AM
140	It more equally distributes the vote power among Vermont's voters.	9/1/2021 8:35 AM
141	2 member house districts has a tendency to present diverse political views	9/1/2021 8:34 AM
142	It gives more people a say in their Representatives	9/1/2021 8:34 AM
143	smaller districts = increased local representation at state level, better representation for unique community needs	9/1/2021 8:32 AM
144	Reps are closer to the voters who elect them. Two member districts can cancel each other's votes, leaving the voters unrepresented.	9/1/2021 8:31 AM
145	Greater accountability, more personal relationship potential.	9/1/2021 8:29 AM
146	Two members allows for more voice and compromise among constituent desires.	9/1/2021 8:27 AM
147	Currently my district has one representative. Previously the rep wouldn't respond to my email inquiries on subjects of great interest and when I felt constituent input should be sought. That situation has been resolved in the most recent election. Having two reps would have hopefully made me feel like I had more voice as long as I got responses from at least one. However it's more difficult to keep connected with two different reps who have different committee assignments and personal interests.	9/1/2021 8:13 AM
148	More accurate representation of constituency needs	9/1/2021 8:10 AM
149	Single member districts would be smaller making it more likely citizens will know their representative which in turn, makes relationships, conversation, feedback, and accountability more likely.	9/1/2021 3:40 AM
150	Smaller districts may allow more precise representation rather than conventionality winning out. It may bring more innovative ideas to the full body.	9/1/2021 1:18 AM
151	I feel that two members can achieve better balance and be more likely to support ideas of their whole district, rather than the (potentially small) number they won by.	8/31/2021 10:47 PM
152	I prefer single because I am twice the per cent of the electorate that I would be in a two member district.	8/31/2021 9:49 PM
153	My town is almost but not quite big enough for a single member, so will inevitably be combined with at least part of one or more others, as it has for the past 20 yrs or more. In that situation, I believe electing 2 people increases the chances of representing disparate interests fairly.	8/31/2021 9:36 PM
154	two-member districts can accommodate more points of view among the members	8/31/2021 9:36 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

155	A closer relationships with voters in a smaller geographical area.	8/31/2021 9:21 PM
156	Too many representatives slows government progress to be efficient	8/31/2021 8:53 PM
157	I think 2 member districts provide a wider range of opinions and ideas	8/31/2021 8:49 PM
158	Balanced view	8/31/2021 8:48 PM
159	Because climate change is changing communities. Small ones are smart, sustainable, and flexible. By small, I mean under a thousand people.	8/31/2021 8:36 PM
160	Two members allow dialogue about the local community needs and allows for continuous knowledge during transitions.	8/31/2021 8:31 PM
161	We have a 2 member district and they are both great	8/31/2021 8:16 PM
162	two member districts give the opportunity for multiple opinions and less chance for single party view	8/31/2021 7:46 PM
163	Two could express different points of view	8/31/2021 7:21 PM
164	Not sure how you will deal with my town Williston which has 2 reps and is more than 8,600 people. Seems to make sense to keep our town one district.	8/31/2021 6:05 PM
165	Two people are more likely to represent a broader range of Vermonters.	8/31/2021 6:02 PM
166	Puts elected leaders closer to those they represent. Enhances legislator-to-citizen relationships so legislators see and understand microcosm views while also sharing macro issues to voters.	8/31/2021 5:27 PM
167	Two-member districts favor larger parties at the expense of minority/Independent representation.	8/31/2021 5:14 PM
168	Two member districts make best sense when we change from a "first past the post" plurality voting system to a ranked choice system in order to ensure both more diversity in representation plus having a majority, or closer to a majority, supporting whomever we elect. Our present plurality voting system (especially with many candidates) does not properly serve Vermonters interests in having whomever we elect obtain at least a majority of support from voters.	8/31/2021 4:46 PM
169	I have no strong feeling about this.	8/31/2021 4:38 PM
170	I want all voters to have an equitable voice. Currently it feels like the southern part of the state is often ignored because the power flows from Chittenden County and Montpelier.	8/31/2021 4:36 PM
171	I think representatives feel more closely watched in single member districts.	8/31/2021 4:18 PM
172	Diversity and transparency inclusion and all representative house Vermont districts	8/31/2021 4:14 PM
173	Closer contact with the member.	8/31/2021 4:08 PM
174	More accountability.	8/31/2021 4:05 PM
175	In two member districts, the largest town has a disproportionate influence.	8/31/2021 4:02 PM
176	More voices heard	8/31/2021 4:00 PM
177	I think it's important to have 2 member house districts to offer the community a wider perspective & it increases the chances for better representation.	8/31/2021 3:59 PM
178	I tend to think that having smaller districts with one rep. is good, possibly a greater understanding of the issues. But, can also see the benefit of having two, if one is, or becomes unresponsive - as mine has.	8/31/2021 3:54 PM
179	Hopefully better representation of each district.	8/31/2021 3:54 PM
180	I feel that my voice will be better heard with a single member house district.	8/31/2021 3:50 PM
181	I personally like having more than one person representing me. It gives a district the option of splitting parties within the district, which I think gives fairer representation. It makes sense for it to be uniform across the state.	8/31/2021 3:43 PM
182	One person to contact and discuss needs.	8/31/2021 3:35 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

183	I believe single member house districts better represent the people of Vermont.	8/31/2021 3:35 PM
184	You know the person representing you more directly.	8/31/2021 3:33 PM
185	Smaller number of voters per district	8/31/2021 3:28 PM
186	Living in Chittenden County, I think 2 member house districts would work better. However, having never lived in a low population area of the state, I don't have an opinion on whether a 1 or a 2 member house district would better serve other parts of Vermont.	8/31/2021 3:25 PM
187	Smaller communities need more leverage to not be overtaken by Chittenden county voices who know nothing about rural Vermont.	8/31/2021 3:24 PM
188	Two members' voting could cancel each other leaving the district with no coherent voice.	8/31/2021 3:24 PM
189	I desire having two people, each of which may have different ideas.	8/31/2021 3:17 PM
190	Smaller units of representation allow each legislator to better represent their district as they are advocating for fewer people when each legislator uniquely represents a single district.	8/31/2021 3:15 PM
191	I do not know enough about this issue to say.	8/31/2021 3:14 PM
192	Two member House districts give me more representation and the likelihood that someone will be able to help me. In a two member district I have two Representatives and likely two or more Senators I can contact for assistance.	8/31/2021 3:13 PM
193	I believe with fewer member districts you are closer to those elected to represent our interests.	8/31/2021 3:12 PM
194	Suppose a 2-party district is split fairly evenly. With a 1-person district, representation will be more lop-sided than a 2 person, with 1 person from each district.	8/31/2021 3:12 PM
195	Each constituent gets to vote for 2 people and thus is more likely to have someone to voice their views.	8/31/2021 3:11 PM
196	Accountability	8/31/2021 10:47 AM
197	Single-member districts would be smaller making personal contact with representatives better	8/31/2021 9:22 AM
198	Representing a smaller group gives more direct accountability. In the larger district both representatives could still only represent the larger group of voters leaving the smaller group with less representation.	8/31/2021 9:06 AM
199	They will be closer to the voting public & more apt to vote as the voting public wants them to.	8/30/2021 10:29 PM
200	Two members allows better constituent communication	8/30/2021 9:50 PM
201	More accountability from the representative	8/30/2021 8:38 PM
202	Equally weighted voting	8/30/2021 7:53 PM
203	More equity	8/30/2021 7:28 PM
204	Having two members leaves a chance that they cancel each other out	8/30/2021 4:28 PM
205	more grassroots and democratic	8/30/2021 3:38 PM
206	It would be nice to know the history of the reasons why it was changed from single member to begin with, but districts can be gerrymandered to benefit one party over another anyway. Population center values typically differ from the rural areas. Most towns in VT contain some of both. The question is how to keep the town/city folk from dominating the rural population. Mix them by town? or separate by district?	8/30/2021 3:25 PM
207	If forming single member districts means splitting some towns between two representatives i definitely do not support this. Keeping towns intact wherever possible is very important.	8/30/2021 2:25 PM
208	Equal representation is crucial—not stacked team playing	8/30/2021 1:49 PM
209	Single member districts allow more interaction between the elected member and the voters.	8/30/2021 12:02 PM
210	1. 150 single-member districts is best. 2. 75 two-member districts is less than ideal. 3. The current mishmash of some single-member districts and some two-member districts should not be allowed as some people have twice as much representation in Montpelier than others.	8/30/2021 11:22 AM
211	Smaller number of people per representative means people can be closer to their	8/30/2021 11:21 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

	representative	
212	accountability	8/30/2021 10:44 AM
213	Politics is local.	8/30/2021 10:40 AM
214	Two member districts allow democrats to pool their resources and wipe out any chance of challengers to their one-party rule and will never allow fair representation in Montpelier.	8/29/2021 11:11 PM
215	Less costly for the taxpayer and less representatives to create unneeded house bills.	8/29/2021 10:27 AM
216	For better communication and accountability with those they serve.	8/28/2021 11:00 PM
217	I feel that my current interests are being served by the two member district. But I acknowledge that a one member district may result in a more uniform representation of the smaller district. It all boils down to how the districts are carved up as to whether I would be better represented then at present.	8/28/2021 8:51 PM
218	Every town should have a rep. This reduces a dominance of fewer reps.	8/28/2021 8:31 PM
219	Simple, the house is made up of representatives, therefore they should represent the voters. Single member does this far better than two member.	8/28/2021 6:35 PM
220	In Addison 3 power is concentrated in progressive Vergennes, but there are 5 towns in the district, some of which are traditionally conservative. Equity suggests dividing the district into 2 one seat districts.	8/28/2021 4:15 PM
221	Make sure smaller districts have fair representation	8/28/2021 1:04 PM
222	It is more representative when a smaller constituency can interact with their legislature	8/28/2021 9:01 AM
223	Single member districts make the representative more accountable to their constituents . The Senate should be single member districts as well. The Chittenden six pack is unacceptable, there is no accountability to voters in that district.	8/28/2021 8:43 AM
224	A representative should be elected from each voting district	8/28/2021 8:11 AM
225	More accountability with single member, BUT does not make sense to break up towns that are between 5000 and 8000 residents	8/28/2021 12:19 AM
226	Equal representation through single member house districts.	8/27/2021 11:00 PM
227	Communication can not get confused when there is only ONE voice. The, oh they must have talked to the other Rep, excuse never is used, it is impossible.	8/27/2021 7:02 PM
228	single member will stop majority rule.	8/27/2021 5:53 PM
229	Single member districts would have better representation of the constituents in that area. Too many times, those sent to Montpelier do not go there with the true feelings of the citizens in mind. Vermonters have a better chance if we are represented in a smaller grouping such as what the Single Member Representation allows.	8/27/2021 5:12 PM
230	Makes more sense for small towns.	8/27/2021 4:55 PM
231	will send my column	8/27/2021 4:31 PM
232	To better reflect community interest in smaller towns.	8/27/2021 3:30 PM
233	I prefer a single person representing a 4300 people because I believe I could get my representatives' attention more easily than if they have twice as many constituents to communicate with, campaign to, etc.	8/27/2021 3:22 PM
234	More direct connection with voters with single member districts.	8/27/2021 3:11 PM
235	Either all one or all Two. A voter from a two rep district has two Reps to call Vs only one if the voter has a issue with legislation.	8/27/2021 2:56 PM
236	Single member House districts better reflect the choices of all the people.	8/27/2021 2:29 PM
237	We only need 1 representative for each district, 2 is a waste of tax payers money ,and you waste enough of are tax dollars as is.	8/27/2021 1:51 PM
238	I think that having two member districts increases the cost and difficulty of running for office and can allow both representatives to come from the same town in the district. These aspects	8/27/2021 1:33 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

kind of go against the goals of making it easy to run for office and ensuring that people from larger towns don't dominate House representation.

239	Single member districts since can protect the interest of smaller local communities like mine.	8/27/2021 12:22 PM
240	A single rep with fewer people could represent a better viewpoint for the rural population who seem to be overrun by the cities. This is assuming they do what they are elected to do and represent the people.	8/27/2021 12:17 PM
241	The fewer constituents a Representative may have, the more responsive to their needs and political positions she/he will be.	8/27/2021 11:48 AM
242	Two seat districts allow for candidates with more diverse political viewpoints to be elected. One seat districts favors the "establishment" candidate.	8/27/2021 11:21 AM
243	Help to eliminate the size of legislature resulting in more accountability of the representatives, or at least that is my take.	8/27/2021 10:39 AM
244	n/a	8/27/2021 10:27 AM
245	Area equality	8/27/2021 10:27 AM
246	One point of contact is always easier	8/27/2021 10:22 AM
247	Provides for greater accountability and seems to protect smaller communities, of which we have many.	8/27/2021 10:21 AM
248	Vermont is extremely top heavy, does not function fairly.	8/27/2021 10:09 AM
249	I advocate single member districts. Keep if small and LOCAL.	8/27/2021 9:56 AM
250	more accountability, less waste.	8/27/2021 9:56 AM
251	I live in a 2 member district and currently my home town of 4000 people is not represented. Our legislators reside in one of the three other towns that make up the district.	8/27/2021 9:50 AM
252	It is more effective to have single member districts.	8/27/2021 9:23 AM
253	Accountability to fewer is better local control	8/27/2021 8:06 AM
254	I feel more manipulation can be accomplished by those redistributing if they are allowed to use 2 member districts. This is not good.	8/27/2021 7:42 AM
255	This would create a smaller area for each candidate to campaign in making it more personal and cheaper. This would also make it more likely to get representation the people want.	8/27/2021 7:19 AM
256	accountability	8/27/2021 7:08 AM
257	We need our representative to be accountable	8/27/2021 7:05 AM
258	Better represents the people	8/27/2021 7:02 AM
259	It is easier to have one representative to reach out too.	8/27/2021 6:36 AM
260	Right now the Kingdom has little say in Montpelier, which is why a lot of people don't vote - they know it won't matter. We can't be heard over Burlington, Rutland and Montpelier. Single member district will at least give us a little hope that we actually matter.	8/27/2021 6:31 AM
261	Better representation	8/27/2021 6:23 AM
262	Districts with fewer residents and a single representative should give us more effective legislation	8/27/2021 6:09 AM
263	eliminate duplication; sharpen issues presented for choice	8/27/2021 5:50 AM
264	Two member districts keep the votes closer together and not splitting them	8/27/2021 4:34 AM
265	With single member districts, each voter knows that they have a representative who is responsible for them, and the member knows exactly to whom they are responsible.	8/27/2021 3:14 AM
266	It's a better chance to represent the people.	8/27/2021 2:41 AM
267	I have 2 reps who BOTH drank the cool-aid. If there was only one for my district, I may be able sway them toward a better outcome in their decisions.	8/27/2021 12:22 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

268	I feel that single Representative districts would more evenly represent the people in the districts they live.	8/27/2021 12:07 AM
269	Two member house districts offer constituents more representation and some degree of diversity by virtue of having two people represent the district.	8/27/2021 12:00 AM
270	I feel the 2 members in my area bond together and listen to each other rather than the community they represent	8/26/2021 11:59 PM
271	My two-member house district is comprised of just one town. Each of my representatives represents the entire town. It would make no sense to divide the town into two house districts, and would most likely involve combining part of my town with a neighboring town. We like having our house members represent just our town.	8/26/2021 11:52 PM
272	I feel it will give better local representation and accountability.	8/26/2021 11:31 PM
273	When you vote for a single representative, you're voting for a person. More than that, you tend to vote party-line, so even a slightly dominant party tends to sweep that district.	8/26/2021 11:06 PM
274	i like the idea of one person representing smaller areas in the state rather 2 over a larger area	8/26/2021 10:53 PM
275	It brings government closer to the local people	8/26/2021 10:50 PM
276	It's better than combination of community that may not have things in common	8/26/2021 10:39 PM
277	Single members simplify voting ballots.	8/26/2021 10:31 PM
278	Better representation for small communities	8/26/2021 10:27 PM
279	Two member districts will lead to voting booth confusion and can lead to manipulation of the true vote.	8/26/2021 10:12 PM
280	It allows the citizen to have a much more direct ability to hold a person accountable to the citizen and forces responsibility on representatives. Responsibility is key.	8/26/2021 9:59 PM
281	Multi member districts are terribly costly for candidates, and have often completely suppressed the influence of smaller towns paired into two member multi-town district.	8/26/2021 9:40 PM
282	If there is only one rep, and he/she cannot be at vote it means the people of the district are not represented. One rep., also opens the door for more fraud and democratic control.	8/26/2021 9:20 PM
283	accountability	8/26/2021 8:38 PM
284	Enhance accountability. Sharpens focus on individual candidates. Present system makes it exceedingly difficult to unseat an incumbent. This is especially true for Senators.	8/26/2021 8:37 PM
285	Single member house districts offer more opportunity for small towns to have a representative from their town.	8/26/2021 8:35 PM
286	Share the workload of reaching the people with two reps.	8/26/2021 8:21 PM
287	they provide greater accountability and can protect the interest of smaller local communities	8/26/2021 8:03 PM
288	Better able to represent specific constituencies	8/26/2021 8:01 PM
289	Better representation for the people.	8/26/2021 7:58 PM
290	I prefer single member districts so legislators have a better opportunity to interact with their constituents.	8/26/2021 7:57 PM
291	One member would be more directly accountable to the voters he or she represents, and the interests of the smaller towns would be less likely to be ignored in favor of those of the larger towns.	8/26/2021 7:56 PM
292	With a large two member district I have found that ideas and needs can be a lot different and hard to represent.	8/26/2021 7:54 PM
293	I am a perfect example of a single member district. Most times I feel out on a limb by myself. Single member means 1) we are more balanced 2) it makes more sense to represent a smaller number as the public votes for and has a closer partnership with one person	8/26/2021 7:42 PM
294	Improved accountability!	8/26/2021 7:35 PM
295	our whole political system is based of balance. You need two to either agree or disagree.	8/26/2021 7:30 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

296	Gives the people more ability to see and find out what the representative is working on and smaller districts are more personal	8/26/2021 7:28 PM
297	With the existing set up neither of my representatives actually represent my beliefs and wishes.	8/26/2021 7:26 PM
298	It seems that with 2 more people's voices will be heard.	8/26/2021 7:17 PM
299	Government closer to the people. More accessibility to constituents.	8/26/2021 7:06 PM
300	Most of our towns are very small and it just makes sense to give each town a little more choice and brings the voting for laws closer to the individuals who voted in the representative. If you bundle them together they potentially have to fight to be heard.	8/26/2021 7:04 PM
301	Single districts make the most sense on paper. But if you have two member districts there is a better chance that at least one of them would be competent and responsive.	8/26/2021 7:03 PM
302	Following the principles of the US Supreme Court's "one man- one vote" decision. A single voter should not be able to influence multiple legislators while another voter in another district influences only one legislator. Any other configuration should be challenged in court.	8/26/2021 6:56 PM
303	It is hard enough to be heard, if we are in 8000 plus mix will we be heard???	8/26/2021 6:53 PM
304	Better representation, easier for new people to run for.	8/26/2021 6:28 PM
305	Multi member districts can be used to discriminate against minorities.	8/26/2021 6:28 PM
306	More direct representation, with 1 to less constituents	8/26/2021 6:23 PM
307	For the closest oversight of their performance and accountability to voters	8/26/2021 6:20 PM
308	They provide greater accountability and can protect the interest of smaller, local communities.	8/26/2021 6:06 PM
309	Vermont has always valued a government "close to the people." I believe that a single member district brings more accountability and is more representative. Further, multi-member districts also encourage "team" campaigning, making it harder for a single candidate to "break through" the "team wall."	8/26/2021 6:04 PM
310	Unfortunately discrimination is an issue.	8/26/2021 6:03 PM
311	Enhanced accountability	8/26/2021 5:58 PM
312	There are way to many useless politicians making up dumb laws and regulations in this state we need to limit the stupidity in mountplier. I know that's hard but it needs to be done.	8/26/2021 5:49 PM
313	I believe single member districts would bring more direct accountability.	8/26/2021 5:49 PM
314	single member districts provide a greater accountability and can protect the interest of smaller local communities	8/26/2021 5:49 PM
315	More reflective of voters wishes	8/26/2021 5:37 PM
316	No preference	8/26/2021 5:34 PM
317	It creates more equivalent representation and attention to constituents. But I do think it can be appropriate to have two member districts when it involves larger towns and preventing them from being split apart.	8/26/2021 5:28 PM
318	The constitution says one person, one representative. Burlington having six does not allow for fair representation.	8/26/2021 5:22 PM
319	In districts with more than one rep each constituent has TWO voices whereas in a single they only have one. That defies one person one vote and should be avoided at all cost	8/26/2021 5:21 PM
320	There is a real lack of 'equity', to use a favored term of the left, in addition to too much intraparty collusion that goes on among Democrats and where applicable, Progressives.	8/26/2021 5:19 PM
321	Single member districts can best represent and account for legislative voting. Preferably I would like a single rep for each town.	8/26/2021 5:18 PM
322	It unduly protects incumbents in the same party because two challengers are necessary to have a chance to replace one.	8/26/2021 5:17 PM
323	greater accountability	8/26/2021 5:15 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

324	Better Representation and Accountability	8/26/2021 5:15 PM
325	I prefer single member as I believe it promotes accountability	8/26/2021 5:14 PM
326	A single member district is more accountable to their citizens, it also allows for a more representative legislature.	8/26/2021 5:12 PM
327	A smaller district helps the legislature focus on local issues better	8/26/2021 5:10 PM
328	Single member districts are easier for both constituents and representatives. The constituents only need to communicate with one rep. and the one rep. has fewer constituents than in a two member district.	8/26/2021 5:05 PM
329	Smaller, local, more intimate	8/26/2021 5:04 PM
330	I am not party oriented and think that this prevents one party from entrenching themselves into a particular district when there are legitimate candidates available from all parties.	8/26/2021 5:01 PM
331	2 member	8/26/2021 5:01 PM
332	Single member districts are not just the norm but the requirement of law in 45+ States because they are the best way to ensure accountability to the community, accessibility to the ballot for candidates, and clear understanding to the voters at election time. This is one of the concrete changes the LAB could make that would have immediate and lasting positive impact across Vermont.	8/26/2021 5:00 PM
333	For 2 member districts, the candidate pool would be larger.	8/26/2021 4:58 PM
334	A single representative will better represent a smaller district	8/26/2021 4:57 PM
335	single district = increased accountability, Only need to track one person.	8/26/2021 4:54 PM
336	Greater accountability to voters.	8/26/2021 4:54 PM
337	Seems to me, and I have little reason to believe I know anything about it, two members would allow for bipartisanship within the district.	8/26/2021 11:34 AM
338	More accountability	8/25/2021 7:39 PM
339	I feel single member representatives better represent their constituents.	8/25/2021 3:54 PM
340	Single-member districts allow for smaller voter communities which make it easier for people without access to big money to campaign amongst their neighbors. On the other hand, they do sometimes create harsh boundaries that divide towns.	8/25/2021 1:23 PM
341	Ends up with population numbers that are too high to actually have representative districts. And I also think it's not the best for running for election.	8/25/2021 1:11 PM
342	Two member districts are unfair to candidates and residents. They drive up the cost of running, reduce responsiveness, and are an additional barrier to service. And they're mathematically unfair - a candidate in a 2 member district can (and in fact has, a few times in the past decade) received votes from more than half of the people who cast a ballot, but because they serve in a 2 member district, still lost.	8/25/2021 1:09 PM
343	Single members districts mean your rep is more closely tied to your community and they are more accountable the more closely they are tied to a certain town, or a smaller group of towns. I would however be ok with a small number of exceptions where a town keeps two member districts as long as those two members represent the same municipality. The current example where Barre Town gets two and Barre City get two seem appropriate. But some of these two member districts that represent 5 or 6 towns seems completely unjustified and tends to more greatly favor the largest town, giving less representation to smaller ones	8/23/2021 4:47 PM
344	It gives Burlington way too much power while smaller places are without voice	8/21/2021 7:13 PM
345	If all districts have one rep, no group gains an unfair advantage. As an example, UVM was better served when 6 Senators represented the institution than it will be when it lies in the districts of only 2 or 3 Senators. Constituency service is better delivered when reps are concerned with a smaller number of residents.	8/21/2021 8:47 AM
346	Cobbling together the 8,000+ persons that it takes to create a 2 member house district creates districts that span across too many towns, each with diverse social, economic, etc. concerns. This is already a problem with single member districts such as Addison-2 which includes	8/20/2021 8:50 AM


## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

towns such as Hancock on one side of the mountain and Cornwall in the Champlain Valley on the other. Two member districts are even worse. Two member districts should ONLY be created if the number of towns can be kept to 1 or 2. For example, in Addison-1, Middlebury. In addition, two member districts undermine the commitment to "one person, one vote". If I am only allowed to vote for 1 representative in say Salisbury, while my neighbor in Middlebury votes for 2 representatives, their influence over the composition of the legislature is greater than mine. Two member districts also result in "cracking" and "packing", that is diluting the voting power of the opposing party's supporters across many districts or concentrating the opposing party's voting power in one district to reduce their voting power in other districts. In Addison County for instance, in Addison County only districts 1 through 5, there are 7 Democratic Representatives and only 1 Republican Representative. A ratio of 7:1 is clearly not supported by the overall vote numbers in Addison County. I strongly believe that creating 2 member districts contributes to this skewed ratio.

---

## Q4 How important is it to you that House Districts lines conform to Town/City borders?

Answered: 627 Skipped: 7




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely important	51.99%	326
Somewhat important	39.07%	245
Not at all important	8.93%	56
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>627</b>

Q5 In an ideal world, each Representative would represent the same number of residents (roughly 4300), which would perfectly satisfy the "one person/one vote" constitutional requirement. Deviations from this number by 10% or more either way are considered potentially unconstitutional.

Also in an ideal world, legislative district lines would neatly conform to existing town lines. However, these two ideals aren't always reconcilable. Given your priorities, which of the following statements best describes your values:

Answered: 626 Skipped: 8



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Creating districts with population deviations as close to 0% as possible to best honor "one person one vote" should take priority over drawing districts to conform to existing town lines.	42.33% 265
So long as population deviations remain anywhere within constitutionally acceptable limits, respect for recognized community boundaries should take priority over more perfectly equal representation.	57.67% 361
TOTAL	626

## Q6 If you like, use this space to explain your answer or make further comment.

Answered: 185 Skipped: 449

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I realize that there are times that we can not have the (perfect) number but do you split a town over we'll say 35 voters? Keep them from having say from what is really going on where they live?	9/12/2021 4:52 PM
2	Each town should be unified	9/11/2021 5:21 PM
3	Changing from one town, one representative to divided townships is simply a political manipulation used to dilute fair representation.	9/10/2021 9:24 PM
4	For one political party, in particular, "winning is the Game" not the issues involved nor ensuring that voting is made available to all political demographics ensuring that government is of [all of] the people, by [all] of the people and for [all] the people. And we all know the name of that particular political party.	9/10/2021 8:34 PM
5	values may or may not stop at town borders but a more accurate observation can be had by keeping with continuity as defined by town areas.... for example I would hate to have to play t-ball for another town than my own....the same values are not necessarily represented...they are good people but I don't know that they feel as I do...I live where I do bcuz others in my community are like-minded..... my representation should reflect the same...and likewise I shouldn't be allowed a voice in someone else's town nor should I be held to their standards our elections should be the same...for individuals who represent US not the next town of indescribable individuals with whatever values hey may have.... I value community	9/10/2021 5:03 PM
6	Towns are naturally segregated from each other by being a town. Each town or city sections [I don't know how to define them.. think Wilder, West Hartford, WRJ, Quechee for Hartford, VT] should be be represented by a representative that hails from that particular locale. I don't know like the homogenousing effect that 2 member and multi-town districts has. It isn't realistic or geographically correct. It leads to disenfranchised citizens without a voice, and in turn... force extreme outlets of this frustration that lead to Trump-like examples... which are not healthy, but unfortunately... to be expected.	9/9/2021 7:58 PM
7	Perhaps there should be an amendment written which covers population deviations within a town.	9/9/2021 11:15 AM
8	There are a lot of forces these days that are causing rural Vermont communities to fray. If we can make legislative district-setting to counter this trend rather than contribute to it, that is a good thing.	9/9/2021 10:38 AM
9	One Town or City one vote regardless of population.	9/8/2021 9:22 PM
10	i live in huntington and it appears we do not get any voice	9/8/2021 4:30 PM
11	Districts should not be drawn in a way that disadvantages BIPOC communities. Please keep those communities intact when you redraw the lines.	9/8/2021 8:32 AM
12	k	9/8/2021 6:08 AM
13	in the end, all politics is local	9/7/2021 11:43 PM
14	N/A	9/7/2021 11:00 PM
15	It is important to maintain one person-one vote equality in Vermont.	9/7/2021 8:30 PM
16	Here in Huntington we are represented by both Washington (house) and Addison (senate) even though we are a Chittenden county town. This seems very unfair as it results in a very minimal chance anyone from Huntington could win an election	9/7/2021 7:59 PM
17	My small town has consistently been thrown under the bus in terms of districting, with Senators from one county, and representatives from another county, neither of which are the	9/7/2021 7:40 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

actual county we are in. We are not contiguously related to either of these districts and do not feel heard or seen in the legislature.

18	Hi	9/7/2021 6:03 PM
19	There is a mountain range between the towns that are currently represented by my two house members who both reside on the other side. Enough said.	9/7/2021 5:52 PM
20	It seems as though it would be easier to be able to gather on Town Meeting Day to conduct local business AND vote in all pertinent local, state and national elections.	9/7/2021 5:38 PM
21	Even if we are attached to neighboring communities, that would be more desirable than being represented with a much larger entity in a different county, that we don't border with (Waterbury).	9/7/2021 5:04 PM
22	Towns need as close to direct representation as possible so the town's interests are more fairly considered.	9/7/2021 4:56 PM
23	10% is way too big a deviation	9/7/2021 1:08 PM
24	We need to have equal representative districts for all Vermonters.	9/7/2021 8:22 AM
25	Every Vermonter deserves the same numerical representation. To do otherwise by intent is simply wrong.	9/7/2021 8:02 AM
26	.	9/6/2021 8:09 AM
27	Variety of opinions, points of view for constituents to express and ponder I believe is a good thing.	9/5/2021 4:58 PM
28	One man, one vote.	9/5/2021 7:56 AM
29	In Essex, we already have confusion over districts and town/village lines. There is a slice of the Village that is currently in the Essex Town (Chittenden 8-1) district. Also the combination with Westford presents problems with representation. Polling places can be confusing. I prioritize recognized borders over the count to reduce confusion to the voters.	9/5/2021 7:43 AM
30	N/A	9/4/2021 10:30 AM
31	n/a	9/3/2021 9:12 AM
32	one person should equal one vote	9/3/2021 5:26 AM
33	What do current and past Housemembers think is best?	9/2/2021 5:28 PM
34	your vote is more important then where it comes from	9/2/2021 1:45 PM
35	Vermont's traditional town organization is the basis upon which the state has operated. Political districts should reflect that organization as closely as possible.	9/2/2021 1:10 PM
36	Democracy means the public gets to vote on the laws themselves. Democracy has its advantages and disadvantages. Elected government is not democracy. People do not and can't have equal influence or voices in an elected government. The elected officials, political parties, and the media will also have DRAMATICALLY more influence than other individuals. Pretending that individuals can have equal influence in an elected government is exactly that ... pretending.	9/2/2021 12:10 PM
37	Again, less opportunity for division; the town vote is more responsible.	9/2/2021 11:16 AM
38	I believe equal representation is best.	9/2/2021 10:42 AM
39	Lines that do not follow town lines make campaigning and voting extremely difficult. Good luck.	9/2/2021 10:02 AM
40	Again, as close to one person one vote as possible. We're striving for a better democracy.	9/2/2021 9:46 AM
41	If a town was split for the last redistricting, it should remain whole under the current redistricting.	9/2/2021 9:23 AM
42	My answer is given with the caveat that more detail is needed for me to know whether creating districts based on town lines is any better or worse than staying w/in the constitutional 10% and straying outside particular town lines.	9/2/2021 8:49 AM
43	I'd prefer the 0% deviation option, but fear that it opens the door to oddly shaped districts and	9/2/2021 8:34 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

gerrymandering, which has proven very hard to resist. Unless there is strong legislation to prevent gerrymandering, I'd prefer that community boundaries be adhered to.

44	While it is of course important to meet the one person/one vote as best possible, it is also important to try to match community boundaries to make it easier to understand who represents you, without having to understand an entirely different "map"	9/2/2021 7:37 AM
45	No	9/2/2021 7:09 AM
46	District deviations should account for planned development so that districts grow into the ideal number.	9/2/2021 6:39 AM
47	Per usual, the jargon used gets muddy. In all reality the people who reside in Chittenden County are not going to have the same issues as those who live in Essex County. Does that mean the issues in Essex County are not as important as the issues in Chittenden? Of course not. We need a system that addresses everyone, not just a select few. In question 7, why can't there be a third option of a representative for each town? The voice of a small town will get drowned out by the larger towns and that does not address the needs of the smaller town.	9/1/2021 9:08 PM
48	Political subdivisions are important to maintain, especially given the importance of town government in Vermont.	9/1/2021 8:46 PM
49	District drawings should also attempt to stay within a county, while town lines are less important if limiting the number of partial towns.	9/1/2021 7:06 PM
50	One person, one vote is the most important criterion to me.	9/1/2021 5:28 PM
51	Keep it fair any liberal ideas are always skewed	9/1/2021 4:55 PM
52	-	9/1/2021 3:49 PM
53	Have two great representatives here in Burlington. A couple blocks away there is one member district. A sliver of BTV is in a Winooski district and that is good as those 2 reps do have to respond to a neighboring town.	9/1/2021 3:28 PM
54	No comment.	9/1/2021 12:37 PM
55	I wish I were more knowledgeable concerning consequence.	9/1/2021 12:35 PM
56	nothing further	9/1/2021 12:16 PM
57	Na	9/1/2021 12:11 PM
58	Many towns have divided interest so if a town has to be divided, makes sense to recognize this factor.	9/1/2021 11:23 AM
59	Representatives should represent a constituency with town borders and not a group of voters by number. This way they can truly represent a town(s) instead of voters from parts of several towns.	9/1/2021 11:03 AM
60	Following town lines would lead to less potentially "gerrymandering" through splitting up towns. Town government is important to Vermonters, citizens in towns often think alike, so their state reps should follow that.	9/1/2021 10:59 AM
61	towns and cities should have representation as a unit as much as possible	9/1/2021 10:37 AM
62	In Vermont, voting districts are most often conceptualized as towns, not some other configuration. Identity, issues, schools, and social media such as Front Porch Forum, structure this pattern. Inter town districts confuse this identity and put voters in a position of understanding whether their vote affects their town or district.	9/1/2021 10:24 AM
63	No comment	9/1/2021 10:22 AM
64	Again, splitting up towns offers an opportunity for gerrymandering which favors the party in power at the time of redistricting.	9/1/2021 9:48 AM
65	I believe that each community should be able to elect an individual that represents that community the best for them to split that up what diminish that representation	9/1/2021 9:47 AM
66	I believe that single member districts help to tie our legislators the most closely to the community they represent. The larger the district, the more diluted your vote becomes.	9/1/2021 9:32 AM
67	When a local municipality needs state approval for local actions the state representative would	9/1/2021 9:22 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

	be in a better position to advocate for that municipality.	
68	With modern day means of communication even the constitutional percent of representation is outdated	9/1/2021 9:20 AM
69	Balance and representing all voices is paramount.	9/1/2021 9:16 AM
70	The interests of people within an existing town line will likely differ from the interest of people outside those lines for a variety of reasons (town water? town garbage pickup?)	9/1/2021 9:02 AM
71	One person one vote	9/1/2021 8:39 AM
72	none	9/1/2021 8:38 AM
73	I already feel my vote doesn't count. One person one vote is important to me and I'd like to feel my one vote DOES count	9/1/2021 8:36 AM
74	Communities are important, so as much as constitutionally possible, we should adhere to community cohesiveness.	9/1/2021 8:35 AM
75	Town issues affect people to a huge degree in a small state filled with small towns, so that should take precedence.	9/1/2021 8:29 AM
76	My current district crosses boundaries. One town's issues are very different from those in the next which is significantly more rural and far less business oriented. I've observe that folks in one town feel less represented when the rep comes from the other town.	9/1/2021 8:13 AM
77	Because each vote makes a difference, creating that possibility in any way possible is essential for equality. Don't we live in a state that believes in equality?	9/1/2021 6:59 AM
78	Different towns may have very different priorities even if they are neighbors.	8/31/2021 9:49 PM
79	The exception to my preference for following town boundaries happens when a physical barrier such as a mountain ridge splits a town into segments that can more easily interact with residents of a neighboring town than those in "the other half" of their own.	8/31/2021 9:36 PM
80	Boundaries are not real vent when it comes to voter preferences	8/31/2021 8:48 PM
81	Democracy as a whole is shaky. Representation needs voters behind it, and too little effort is put in maximizing voter turnout.	8/31/2021 8:36 PM
82	I lived in a state before moving here in which my state senator lived 5 hours from me. He had no idea what was important to us.	8/31/2021 8:16 PM
83	large towns and cities have historically had multiple representatives in divided districts. seems to work	8/31/2021 7:46 PM
84	I think this is complicated by whether a town is larger or smaller than 4,300 people. Seems to me that towns smaller should join with neighboring population. Towns significantly (10% ?) bigger will also have to be divided for good representation but it gets complicated for bigger towns to be divided into parts of other bigger towns as might happen in Chittenden county.	8/31/2021 6:05 PM
85	It's important for representatives to represent the full range of needs and priorities of the towns they represent.	8/31/2021 6:02 PM
86	Maximum size would remain small-approx 4,730 while minimum would still be justifiable-4,270.	8/31/2021 5:27 PM
87	Redistricting lines should be drawn, insofar as possible, to preserve logical, city/town/neighborhood boundaries, AND SHOULD SPECIFICALLY NOT BE DRAWN TO FAVOR ANY ONE POLITICAL PARTY. We voters should pick our elected representatives, not have them pick their voters.	8/31/2021 4:46 PM
88	I'm interested in a legislature that looks at the state as a whole and offers solutions that are based in fact and do not create additional unsustainable costs. We pass too many statutes and laws that put a band-aid on problems and cost more to impliment and sustain than a simpler, less bureaucratic approach would. Stop spending time passing divisive proposals of what we "must" do and focus on actually taking steps to realize those goals. We've spent more time and money arguing about what green energy percentages we must meet, than actually supporting specific laws and expenditure that actually help meet the standards. Put in electric car chargers NOW and incentivize existing "gas" stations to add high speed electric chargers NOW, rather than saying that by 2025 or 2030 we must convert our current gas powered cars to electric.	8/31/2021 4:36 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

89	No comment	8/31/2021 4:18 PM
90	Because Vermont as a community as a whole. Should have a choice and a respectful mindset of the communities Within districts boundaries of Vermont.	8/31/2021 4:14 PM
91	Vermont communities are unique. Their boundaries should be respected.	8/31/2021 4:05 PM
92	I suspect that having reps. not follow town lines makes it even less likely for folks to contact them with issues and make their voices heard. It's hard enough already to get folks to speak up, not following boundaries will only muddy it is my quickly thought out guess.	8/31/2021 3:54 PM
93	No comment	8/31/2021 3:50 PM
94	Crossing town lines could make voting very complicated. Would some voters at a town polling place be voting in one race and others in another? Would polling places need to have more than one ballot available? Sounds complicated!	8/31/2021 3:43 PM
95	Many of Vermonters live in rural areas, and their voices would be lost if town lines are considered more important than voter representation.	8/31/2021 3:35 PM
96	Community identity is important.	8/31/2021 3:33 PM
97	No comment	8/31/2021 3:28 PM
98	Thank you for requesting public input via this survey.	8/31/2021 3:25 PM
99	Voters' identification with any given town or village is generally not as strong or as widespread as in the past. People move more often and commute, so roots are not as deep.	8/31/2021 3:24 PM
100	I'm only concerned about conforming to town lines in so far as that limits gerrymandering and makes districts more compact. So the 1:4300 goal is more important to me.	8/31/2021 3:15 PM
101	The current situation in Essex Junction is a great example. I would rather have my vote count as much as my Williston's neighbor and share a representative with some Williston residents than have less representation by keeping my representatives only serving Essex Junction	8/31/2021 3:12 PM
102	I lived in Essex, but was assigned to a Westford district, and never really felt that my representative represented me.	8/31/2021 3:12 PM
103	This is a close call for me, as I think if the difference is less than, say 2 percent, I'd prefer existing town lines, but if it's more than that, equal representation is better.	8/31/2021 3:11 PM
104	One person one vote is the most important criteria and should be honored above all else.	8/31/2021 10:47 AM
105	town line districts is far less confusing to the people. Someone lives in a town, goes to vote in that town and discovers that they can't vote in the town they live in??? That seems non-sensical.	8/31/2021 9:06 AM
106	Making the boundary lines of districts along town lines keeps things simple & more localized.	8/30/2021 10:29 PM
107	Na	8/30/2021 9:50 PM
108	My only concern is drawing crooked lines to get certain results	8/30/2021 7:53 PM
109	Prevents larger population areas from controlling the state.	8/30/2021 7:28 PM
110	Depends from whence the constitutional requirements date. If from the founding, then stick with it. If not, then again, why it was changed.	8/30/2021 3:25 PM
111	See long answer to 5. above.	8/30/2021 2:25 PM
112	Equal representation is more important than arbitrary political boundaries. Deviations from the ideal create unequal representation and "Injustice anywhere is a threat to justice everywhere."	8/30/2021 11:22 AM
113	again accountability	8/30/2021 10:44 AM
114	Simple is best. Towns have a common interest regarding legislation.	8/30/2021 10:40 AM
115	My trust in VT election validity is currently zero.	8/29/2021 10:27 AM
116	I thanks	8/28/2021 8:51 PM
117	Once again each town should have a rep.	8/28/2021 8:31 PM
118	There should be 2 senators from each county, period.	8/28/2021 8:10 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

119	Trying to represent the community is more important than 0 deviation because all other community government is based on community, by definition and therefore the representatives for a community should reflect the community as it actually functions.	8/28/2021 6:35 PM
120	They split up Troy the last time around and they dealt with it. Town Clerks will just have to deal with it.	8/28/2021 8:43 AM
121	I believe in one person one vote districting because it seems more fair for all Vermonters	8/28/2021 8:11 AM
122	none	8/27/2021 11:00 PM
123	Do the principles discussed above outweigh the impact of single member districts on individual seats? In Orange-1, Graham lives in Williamstown and Lefebvre ;lives in Orange, which are almost contiguous. Do we risk losing seats in situations like this?	8/27/2021 10:51 PM
124	A town divided into more that one representative, IS JUST THAT DEVIDED. Divided is conflicted. We should NEVER have conflicted representatives	8/27/2021 7:02 PM
125	Certainly would indicate what we have now in that representation would clearly indicate what towns are driving certain legislation. Those of us in the Northeast Kingdom and other rural areas in VT get screwed by the heavy representation in Chittenden County and their nonsensible ideas.	8/27/2021 5:12 PM
126	Keeping lines community based would be better because community communication within. Town meeting	8/27/2021 3:30 PM
127	The closer the reps are to the people, the better.	8/27/2021 3:11 PM
128	If a town were split between two districts That's fine but if a town is split between a two rep district and a single rep district (see Timeout) a towns representation could be skewed.	8/27/2021 2:56 PM
129	Evenly apportioned districts are more democratic.	8/27/2021 2:29 PM
130	1 district 1 voice, you don't listen to what the people want, only your agenda	8/27/2021 1:51 PM
131	Although the idea of having everyone in a town have the same representative is important, I think that it is even more important to have one representative districts to avoid the issues of cost and monopolization mentioned above.	8/27/2021 1:33 PM
132	Communities tend to have the same needs. A big city such as Burlington has different views and values than lyndonville area. One reduces police & wants guns taken away & the other respects police & guns are used for sport & hunting, no usually for crime.	8/27/2021 12:17 PM
133	Towns are political entities in Vermont. It make no sense to have rep district split towns. It makes campanging difficult, and creates a situation where the "minority" town loses out.	8/27/2021 11:21 AM
134	Legislators should represent, and be accountable to, specific communities that elected them.	8/27/2021 11:18 AM
135	n/a	8/27/2021 10:27 AM
136	The representative should, to the extent possible within the rules, represent their own community, and not part of others.	8/27/2021 10:21 AM
137	One person one vote. Avoid mail ballots	8/27/2021 9:56 AM
138	na	8/27/2021 9:56 AM
139	accountability. you know best where you live	8/27/2021 7:08 AM
140	Again, we don't feel we matter on this side of the state. Besides, why haven't the politicos been following the Constitution all along? I hate politics.	8/27/2021 6:31 AM
141	n	8/27/2021 6:31 AM
142	In my town the last three reapportionment we ended up carving out less than a 100 voters from the a joining town.	8/27/2021 6:23 AM
143	Vermont has a history of governing at the town level. Until this changes by consolidations I support town governments and representation	8/27/2021 6:09 AM
144	a person domiciled in Vermont should be able to register Party preference, or to declare as Independent	8/27/2021 5:50 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

145	The difference between the number of voters in a district should also be considered so that each voter is represented equally in each election.	8/27/2021 3:14 AM
146	Votes are about people not towns	8/27/2021 2:41 AM
147	All Representatives should be from the same county and not from a contiguous county no matter the number of people represented. It is truly one person one vote, when the county that the representative comes from is the one he represents. Anything else is an attempt to cancel votes from areas not aligned with the needs of a particular county.	8/27/2021 12:40 AM
148	I would REALLY like Burlington to have less influence over matters of rural communities. I firmly believe that the Senet should be aligned geographically to give a more fair representation of our rural communities and I would hope that the Supreme Court could revers it's ignorant decision when rural needs are so overlooked.	8/27/2021 12:22 AM
149	When a house member is forced to represent more than one municipality it sometimes causes them to have divided loyalties. Neighboring towns do not always agree on the issues.	8/26/2021 11:52 PM
150	The Constitution specifies equal representation for the lower house but not for the upper house, the Senate; one state, two votes, states don't have equal populations.	8/26/2021 10:31 PM
151	The townships, represented by their borders, should have greater priority than the ideal: taxation resides by location.	8/26/2021 10:12 PM
152	When towns are randomly split up, the representative must struggle to participate in community events, and the divided town struggles to maintain any sense of identity.	8/26/2021 9:40 PM
153	It makes no sense to split towns.	8/26/2021 9:37 PM
154	How about we work on 2 senators per county, instead of population. That will take away Burlington controlling all of Vermont.	8/26/2021 9:20 PM
155	Community	8/26/2021 8:38 PM
156	no comment.	8/26/2021 8:35 PM
157	No comment.	8/26/2021 7:57 PM
158	Laws and policies enacted at the state level affect what can or cannot be done at the town level. Having all voters in a town represented by a single member would make it more likely that the will of the majority would be heard.	8/26/2021 7:56 PM
159	all votes should count	8/26/2021 7:30 PM
160	Each persons voice is valuable	8/26/2021 7:17 PM
161	We need to maintain and encourage community cohesiveness and refrain from dividing communities as much as we can. Sometimes natural boundaries like mountains and rivers will be more important than town boundaries but town boundaries should be the "gold standard" when possible.	8/26/2021 7:06 PM
162	I live in a two legislator town. Let the legislators do the work and figure it out. Of course the Dem-Prog controlled legislature has a vested interest in the status quo.	8/26/2021 6:56 PM
163	Districts that more closely align with existing political boundaries are easier for voters to understand. They also make more sense in terms of the connection between local and state government	8/26/2021 6:28 PM
164	Keeping town lines intact as much as possible makes it much easier on clerks who might otherwise have to divide their small towns with different ballots and voting machines.	8/26/2021 6:04 PM
165	Again I refer back to disparity.	8/26/2021 6:03 PM
166	No additional comment.	8/26/2021 5:49 PM
167	I think it is imperative to be able to have access and familiarity for accountability of representatives.	8/26/2021 5:37 PM
168	You need some flexibility even those you could end up with a single member district with 4320 population next to a two member district with 9600 persons. The real problem is the Senate. We need a constitutional amendment to limit Senate membership to two per county.	8/26/2021 5:34 PM
169	I think it is extremely important for people who are already connected within a community to be	8/26/2021 5:28 PM


## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

within the same district whenever possible.

170	no comment	8/26/2021 5:22 PM
171	Unless the margin is very small- a couple percent- then the lines should be drawn on population not Town lines. 10% up and 10% down is a 20% difference and is too far out of line with equity in representation.	8/26/2021 5:21 PM
172	No further comment.	8/26/2021 5:19 PM
173	Issues tend to be of town specific nature so 1 rep per town makes sense.	8/26/2021 5:18 PM
174	Maintaining community boundaries should be a priority, as different communities have different interests and priorities.	8/26/2021 5:05 PM
175	It is ridiculous to split up towns in state as small as Vermont.	8/26/2021 5:01 PM
176	Not needed	8/26/2021 5:01 PM
177	Some Towns are really bedrooms of other larger towns at this point, without their own schools, commerce, or houses of worship. Town lines are historical legacies and sometimes fail to account for topography or social and transportation ties.	8/26/2021 5:00 PM
178	Equal representation should be the #1 priority always	8/26/2021 4:58 PM
179	We should go back to one representative per town.	8/26/2021 4:54 PM
180	I don't see why town lines should be used to separate districts.	8/26/2021 11:34 AM
181	Equal representation	8/25/2021 7:39 PM
182	I believe that the state legislative districts must be under a 10% deviation, but within that boundary communities of interest should be given priority over strict population adherence.	8/25/2021 1:23 PM
183	Town lines are irrelevant for school districts, senate districts, and districts in larger cities. They should be largely irrelevant in house districts too	8/25/2021 1:09 PM
184	The board should look at existing subdivisions which should include Front Porch Forum groups. This is an existing artificial subdivision that has taken on its own sense of community. If an FPF district is too large then consider other news or information distribution venues, like local paper circulation or same school district. I live in St. George and I get the Williston newspaper (because we share a zip code) and I'm in the Hinesburg Front Porch Forum - and yet we share a Rep with Shelburne. That doesn't make any sense to me. Shelburne should be lumped in with the rural south Burlington who is too big not to be divided, and St. George should be with either Williston or Hinesburg	8/23/2021 4:47 PM
185	Vermont is the victim of its settlement pattern. We have towns in Addison County on the other side of the mountain (Granville and Hancock). We have towns such as Orwell, which naturally are more integrated with their Rutland County neighbors. Strict adherence to County boundaries is not necessary. What is more important is to group towns by their social, economic, etc. concerns. So including Granville and Hancock in a district with Rochester, etc. makes sense. As for keeping district boundaries in line with Town boundaries, this is inevitably impossible. I'm sure no Town wants to be split between districts, but in order to maintain as close to the principle of "one person, one vote", eventually some Town(s) will have to be split. Whenever possible, any split should conform to clearly defined geographic boundaries within the Town, such as: East of Route 7, West of Otter Creek, postal code boundaries, etc.	8/20/2021 8:50 AM

Q7 How would you draw the lines to solve this mapping problem? There are three towns A, B, and C. A is population 5000, B is population 2000, and C is population 1600.


Answered: 595 Skipped: 39



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Combine all of towns B and C plus 700 residents from town A to form the first population 4300 single Representative district, and take the remaining 4300 residents of Town A to form a second single Representative district.	64.54% 384
Combine all of towns A, B and C to create one population 8600 Two Representative district.	35.46% 211
TOTAL	595

## Q8 Rank in order of importance the municipal boundary lines you think a State Representative district should best reflect.


Answered: 611 Skipped: 23



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOTAL	SCORE
Geographic (Rivers, Mountains, Etc.)	9.39% 52	11.37% 63	25.27% 140	14.62% 81	13.00% 72	6.32% 35	7.04% 39	13.00% 72	554	4.77
School District	8.84% 49	28.52% 158	29.96% 166	20.40% 113	4.87% 27	3.61% 20	2.35% 13	1.44% 8	554	5.89
Health Services District	0.79% 4	2.58% 13	7.54% 38	9.92% 50	20.44% 103	24.60% 124	18.65% 94	15.48% 78	504	3.28
Environmental/Conservation District	0.39% 2	2.75% 14	5.70% 29	9.23% 47	15.13% 77	24.56% 125	24.75% 126	17.49% 89	509	3.04
Supervisory Union	2.99% 16	5.05% 27	16.82% 90	24.49% 131	22.24% 119	10.84% 58	11.40% 61	6.17% 33	535	4.33
Solid Waste District	0.78% 4	0.98% 5	1.95% 10	7.23% 37	15.04% 77	21.68% 111	22.46% 115	29.88% 153	512	2.61
County	12.31% 71	35.53% 205	13.69% 79	12.31% 71	7.11% 41	4.33% 25	7.80% 45	6.93% 40	577	5.55
Town	66.94% 403	16.94% 102	4.15% 25	3.16% 19	1.16% 7	1.66% 10	1.66% 10	4.32% 26	602	7.12

Q9 Do you prefer single senator senate districts (one senator per senate district of around 21,500 people), or multi-senator senate districts (two or three senators in a single district of around 43,000 or 64,500 people)?


Answered: 619 Skipped: 15



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I believe all senate districts should be single-senator districts.	63.81%	395
I prefer single-senator senate districts, but don't have a problem with two or three senator districts.	17.61%	109
I prefer multi-senator senate districts, but don't have a problem with single senator districts.	10.66%	66
I believe all senate districts should be multi-senator districts.	7.92%	49
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>619</b>

## Q10 How important is it to you that senate districts conform to county boundary lines?


Answered: 622 Skipped: 12



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very important	49.04%	305
Somewhat important	39.71%	247
Not at all important	11.25%	70
TOTAL		622

**Q11 How important is it to you that house district lines conform to senate district lines? (I.e. If a house district is comprised of three towns, is it okay for two of those towns to be in one senate district and the third town to be in another?)**

Answered: 622 Skipped: 12



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very important	33.76%	210
Somewhat important	46.95%	292
Not at all important	19.29%	120
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>622</b>

## Q12 Use this space to make any comments, recommendations, observations or questions regarding legislative reapportionment.

Answered: 176 Skipped: 458

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Try to keep your Reps in a single County if possible so it is easier for them to equally represent said area	9/12/2021 4:52 PM
2	Will this survey make any deference	9/11/2021 5:21 PM
3	I believe that in Vermont every Senate district must have the same number of State Senators representing it, the map of the last 10 years in my eyes was not fairly representative to all senate districts. I believe each district should have 3 State Senators.	9/11/2021 9:18 AM
4	The more complicated the divisions the more room for political manipulation; simple one person, one vote leads better government.	9/10/2021 9:24 PM
5	Due to migration from "out of state" primarily from the coastal urban and suburn areas theVermont's civic culture has dramatically changed. This is a result of either an inability or an unwillingness or an intentional plan to make political Vermont a "clone" of those coastal states. By doing so, these coastal migrants are tranforming Vermont into something that is no longer Vermont. They know this and they are very happy with this change. They now like to call themselves Vermonters, "give me a break!"	9/10/2021 8:34 PM
6	More Districts. More single member districts. Geographically sensitive districts. Return the Senate and Representatives to the functional constitutional check and balance as originally designed. As the population grows, the representative districts grow. As it stands now.. I am more disenfranchised from the government than before when each town had its own representative.	9/9/2021 7:58 PM
7	this is getting confusing	9/9/2021 1:59 PM
8	To rectify the historic under-representation of BIPOC Vermonters in the State House, I urge the Board to not draw legislative district lines through communities of color. Instead, wherever possible, the Board should endeavor to maintain BIPOC communities within districts that also conform to Board's other considerations.	9/9/2021 11:42 AM
9	Question #7 should have a third answer; "Each town, regardless of it a population, shall have at least one representative."	9/9/2021 11:15 AM
10	The barriers to entry in multi-seat Senate districts (especially Chittenden County) are too high. I'd like to see single-senator districts statewide, not only so senators are more accessible, but also so it's easier for newcomers to run.	9/9/2021 10:38 AM
11	One Town/ City, One Representative, One County One Senator. Stop manipulating in the name of fairness!	9/8/2021 9:22 PM
12	Protect our democracy with one rep, one vote!	9/8/2021 6:05 PM
13	i live in huntington and our voices aren't represented well enough	9/8/2021 4:30 PM
14	I believe the Senate should be a portion by county two senators per county just like the Federal Constitution I understand it was struck down in court but I believe that's how it should be	9/8/2021 4:27 PM
15	k	9/8/2021 6:08 AM
16	try to keep it simple! separqte maps for house ne senate is NOT simple	9/7/2021 11:43 PM
17	N/A	9/7/2021 11:00 PM
18	Huntington's representation comes from outside our county for both Senate and House reps. This is unacceptable and must be corrected with this round of redistricting!	9/7/2021 9:13 PM
19	Huntington is horribly disenfranchised by the current arrangement.	9/7/2021 8:30 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

20	I believe that small towns should have representation from people either from their town or from similar and adjacent towns that would allow for like perspectives and allow their senate and house representatives to work together and be on the same page, representing the same and similar constituents.	9/7/2021 7:40 PM
21	No comments	9/7/2021 7:31 PM
22	Good luck.	9/7/2021 6:03 PM
23	There are areas of Vermont that are lightly populated but need adequate representation. Maybe population shouldn't be the only way to determine legislative districts.	9/7/2021 5:52 PM
24	.	9/7/2021 5:38 PM
25	Having our representatives and senators being in the same county as we are is very important.	9/7/2021 5:05 PM
26	We need to have equal representative districts for all Vermonters.	9/7/2021 8:22 AM
27	Equality for every Vermonter must be the goal. Representatives represent people, NOT towns or counties. The fact that some Vermonters get to cast a vote for, and have the ear of greater representation is simply criminal in 2021.	9/7/2021 8:02 AM
28	Similar house and senate districts will save on confusion, but the "one person, one vote" is also important to me. Vermont has many pockets of voters of differing opinions than the majority of any said region. An example is a rural area nestled within a larger urban/suburban area. One representative to 4300 may better meet their needs. Thank you for a chance to share my thoughts.	9/6/2021 8:09 AM
29	One man, one vote.	9/5/2021 7:56 AM
30	I'm not happy with the choices in this part of the survey. We should have two senators for each county--just as the U.S. Congress has two senators for each state. This should NOT be based on population. That is the point of these separate houses in a balanced representation. Chittenden County has long overpowered both houses. The senate should be the place where all counties are represented equally.	9/5/2021 7:43 AM
31	N/A	9/4/2021 10:30 AM
32	Senate districts should be single-member for the sake of diversity and accountability. Additionally they should align with house districts.	9/4/2021 7:11 AM
33	Counties as closely as possible should have two Senators. Otherwise far left progressive Chittenden rules everything. The one person one vote decision by the SCOTUS was a disaster for counties with a large area but sparse population. The US Senate was created by the founders who understood the concept that urban area would control rural areas if population was the factor that determined how many Senators. Joe Biden, Bernie, and Leahy are from states that probably would not have a single Senator using the flawed SCOTUS logic.	9/3/2021 11:15 PM
34	New Hampshire has 24 single member senate districts and 10 counties	9/3/2021 9:12 AM
35	one person one vote	9/3/2021 5:26 AM
36	Keeping all elements as simple as possible for everyone will help, I think. I love how easy it is - at least in my area - to for citizens and elected people to contact, see, speak to, correspond with and get to know each other. Even if I disagree with a decision I can trust the decision maker.	9/2/2021 5:28 PM
37	The system should be as simple as possible to avoid errors and contested results of elections.	9/2/2021 12:14 PM
38	Maybe we should simplify our governance by merging senators and representatives.	9/2/2021 11:16 AM
39	See comment #3	9/2/2021 10:02 AM
40	I am ignorant of the complexities involved in apportionment.	9/2/2021 9:46 AM
41	I think any reapportionment should take into consideration town/county boundaries and designate however many representatives are sufficient to thoroughly communicate with residents to represent their voice.	9/2/2021 8:49 AM
42	I see the challenges here. I hope you can preserve existing political units (town, county, school, etc.) as much as possible. I can see that it won't always be possible. A town that is divided into 2 districts- house or senate- loses it's own sense as a political unit. While it may	9/2/2021 8:36 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

be necessary to equalize the representation of individuals, it reduces the residents' ability to be represented as a town. Good luck. Keep the best interests of democracy as your priority.

43	NA	9/2/2021 7:09 AM
44	For consistency purposes of proper representation, the same district lines should be used for both house and senate.	9/1/2021 9:08 PM
45	Living in a three-town single member House District (Windham-6) where two towns are in one Senate district and one town has advantages and disadvantages. One can argue that you have four Senators representing you, but I have found that you are typically ignored by the Senators from a different county.	9/1/2021 8:46 PM
46	No Senate or Representative district should have a single voice representing constituents. Biases are a problem today and single voices only strengthen the powerful.	9/1/2021 7:06 PM
47	I would prefer that each county would have 2 Senators regardless of population, the same as the U.S. Senate, to counter the House which is decided solely by population, thus giving more rural areas and there differing ideas and priorities more weight. Chittenden Co. hold sway over Essex, Caledonia, and/or Orleans Co.s simply because of population	9/1/2021 5:54 PM
48	Leave it alone	9/1/2021 4:55 PM
49	People who are currently serving as Representative or Senator should not be the determining factor in establishing boundary lines.	9/1/2021 4:52 PM
50	-	9/1/2021 3:49 PM
51	Chitt. County by population now rates 7.5 senators New law says current single district of 6 senators must now be a maximum of 3. Prefer single member senate districts also for all the reasons I stated for one member rep districts.	9/1/2021 3:28 PM
52	Especially in Chittenden County , Senatorial Candidates have an almost impossible task of reaching out to all the voters in the District, and the Burlington metro area has an advantage in voter density, so the outlying areas get short shrift.	9/1/2021 3:25 PM
53	Single Senatorial districts are best. Two OK in same town. NO MORE THAN TWO IN A DISTRICT !!!!	9/1/2021 1:12 PM
54	No comment.	9/1/2021 12:37 PM
55	Do whatever is best for Rural Vermont.	9/1/2021 12:35 PM
56	It is very important that Chittenden county be reapportioned, especially the Senate.	9/1/2021 12:16 PM
57	N	9/1/2021 12:11 PM
58	I feel many of the interests of the rural communities are not be represented. In order to do this, it makes sense to have single member districts with gives equal representation.	9/1/2021 11:23 AM
59	Your Representatives and Senators would be representing the same constituents.	9/1/2021 11:03 AM
60	Don't split up towns between districts!	9/1/2021 10:59 AM
61	having a Senate district of more than two Senators is very bad for small towns. Large population town/cities out weigh smaller towns in numbers	9/1/2021 10:37 AM
62	Question 11 is poorly worded. The answers don't conform to the way the question is worded. The answers you get are meaningless and ambiguous. Garbage question, garbage answers.	9/1/2021 10:22 AM
63	Since the senate is supposed to provide a different look at house passed legislation, it is not as important that it include only legislative (house) districts.	9/1/2021 9:48 AM
64	Single representation gives Vermonters better government, where as multi representation would diminish representation, and stall legislative action	9/1/2021 9:47 AM
65	I believe that single member districts help to tie our legislators the mostly closely to the community they represent. The larger the district, the more diluted your vote becomes.	9/1/2021 9:32 AM
66	The less people each legislator represents will obviously create a better opportunity for personal interaction, accountability, and representation between legislators and constituents. Difficult project to set the district boundaries, for sure. I appreciate your efforts to enable the best possible representation.	9/1/2021 9:25 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

67	When house and senate lines conform closely to one another the representatives and senators are more able to work as a team.	9/1/2021 9:22 AM
68	I think access and communication (two way) with our representatives needs attention	9/1/2021 9:20 AM
69	Why are trying to change this in the first place?	9/1/2021 9:19 AM
70	Be fair	9/1/2021 9:16 AM
71	Too many boundary lines are drawn for political reasons rather than what the needs of the citizens within the boundary.	9/1/2021 9:00 AM
72	We need to break up the Chittenden County "gang of 6" that speaks pretty much only for burlington.	9/1/2021 8:52 AM
73	Multiple boundaries would be confusing for voters and challenging for town clerks to administer.	9/1/2021 8:39 AM
74	no comment	9/1/2021 8:38 AM
75	Smaller, closer ties to the district, equals more meaningful representation	9/1/2021 8:36 AM
76	For topographical and community reasons, the town of Orange should be associated with the western Orange County towns in a senate district, and Corinth should be associated with towns along the Connecticut River.	9/1/2021 8:35 AM
77	Make the process non-partisan. Take the politicians out of the process.	9/1/2021 8:34 AM
78	Chittenden County has six senators. I don't feel like they truly represent this entire county which is highly varied in interests. It feels like the large business centers' an larger population interests get the priority in decision making. We're simply too large to lump us all together.	9/1/2021 8:13 AM
79	Reapportionment is complicated. All the more reason to make sure it is fair and not determined for partisan outcomes.	9/1/2021 3:40 AM
80	I see why this is so complicated!	9/1/2021 1:18 AM
81	again, multi-representativedistricts provide the opportunity for more opinion, knowledge and experience	8/31/2021 8:49 PM
82	Relevant population representation between functional representative	8/31/2021 8:48 PM
83	The thinking behind this is often irrelevant for my town, which is on the western slope of Green Mountains, has an eastern slope senator. And representation monopolized by a do-nothing Republican majority while our town is significantly Democratic. Thirty years ago, the voting geography made a lot more sense.	8/31/2021 8:36 PM
84	I think Senators need to be more whole-state in perspective.	8/31/2021 6:02 PM
85	Reapportionment should be non-partisan. Not by the legislature	8/31/2021 5:48 PM
86	Counties are somewhat superfluous in VT. This is true for distribution of federal funds and for VT governance at both state and town level.	8/31/2021 5:27 PM
87	The legislature should not be making the ultimate decision about boundaries recommended by the Legislative Apportionment Board. Redistricting should be done by nonpartisan Citizen Redistricting Commissions and they should be bound by law not to favor any political party and to be independent citizens and not tied to any political party (this obviously needs to be subject to specific criteria).	8/31/2021 4:46 PM
88	Sorry, I just don't have comments....	8/31/2021 4:38 PM
89	I feel if we can vigorously move away from the intensley partisan politics that is preventing our country from making progress on even those problems where the voters tend to agree or support an idea, rather than play party politics, we all benefit.	8/31/2021 4:36 PM
90	No comment	8/31/2021 4:18 PM
91	Inclusion diversity transparency and equity and respect for all towns and County house districts representatives of Vermont.	8/31/2021 4:14 PM
92	I'm interested in accountability by leg'ors for their actions. No matter where their base, I'd like to know which one person I should talk to -- not 6! and not even 2! -- about an issue.	8/31/2021 4:05 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

93	Over my forty years of living in Shrewsbury we have been lumped with Plymouth and Ludlow, which was not great - different watersheds, different school districts and different demographics. Then came Wallingford and Tinmouth, better by far. Then, with the last reapportionment came Mt. Holly and Ludlow. This is okay. Same valley, different school districts, different solid waste districts but similar in size - kind of. Our rep. says we are very different in our concerns we come to him with - I trust his judgement on that.	8/31/2021 3:54 PM
94	no comment	8/31/2021 3:50 PM
95	I believe the integrity of this process and the protection of voting as a primary engine of democracy should be put before any other party or agenda.	8/31/2021 3:48 PM
96	House districts and Senate districts can be independent of each other.	8/31/2021 3:43 PM
97	We have a wide variety of opinions in Vermont. As much as possible, every voter in Vermont should have the opportunity to have representation in the State house and Senate. The candidate a voter votes for may not win; that's a given. But when areas are lumped together we reduce the possibility of equal representation in our state government. Diversity results in more and better representation and ideas for solving issues.	8/31/2021 3:35 PM
98	Might get too confusing otherwise.	8/31/2021 3:33 PM
99	Smaller districts are preferable	8/31/2021 3:28 PM
100	Tough decisions, I don't envy you. As I got deeper into your questions, I realize I have no idea... Best wishes.	8/31/2021 3:12 PM
101	Again, too many different districts adds to the confusion. While Senate districts would be larger than Representative districts there should be as few non-conforming bits and pieces as possible.	8/31/2021 9:06 AM
102	None	8/30/2021 10:29 PM
103	Good luck!	8/30/2021 9:50 PM
104	equity	8/30/2021 7:28 PM
105	in the end, i strongly believe senate seat should be assigned by county ( 2per) as the us senate seats are assigned regardless of population, they are and should be very different bodies one best represents the smallest practical population while the other is more geographic (the senate)	8/30/2021 3:23 PM
106	No team running	8/30/2021 1:49 PM
107	My town has moved 3 times in the last 3 census counts and sometimes we are not in our county (like now!)	8/30/2021 12:02 PM
108	1. 30 single-member districts is best. 2. 15 two-member districts or 10 three-member districts is less than ideal. 3. The current mishmash of some single-member districts, some two-member districts, some three-member districts, and a six-member district should not be allowed as some people have six times as much representation in Montpelier than others. Even a redistricting plan that allows some Vermonters to have 2 or 3 times as much representation in the Senate than others should not be allowed.	8/30/2021 11:22 AM
109	Disregard my #8 Can't make it work on my cell phone	8/29/2021 10:27 AM
110	Nothing	8/28/2021 8:51 PM
111	Each town should have a rep.	8/28/2021 8:31 PM
112	The senate represents the county and the house represents the towns. The senate is supposed to be the deliberative body stopping the crazies in the house.	8/28/2021 8:10 PM
113	NA	8/28/2021 6:35 PM
114	Accountability is the key and single member house and senate works toward this goal.	8/28/2021 8:43 AM
115	Ideally the districts should be similar	8/28/2021 8:11 AM
116	The highly populated areas run the State, so it is time for a more equal proportion of Legislators	8/27/2021 11:00 PM
117	All the options pale in comparison with ensuring at least a veto-sustaining GOP minority,	8/27/2021 10:51 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

	especially in the House.	
118	Cooperative representation is a must considering cultural boundaries. No person should be marginalized in a non cooperative thinking group, allowing for exceptions would be necessary for outliers with care	8/27/2021 7:02 PM
119	It has been the habit of reapportionment to match two hill red towns with a big river town to wash out the red influence. Topsham & Groton thrown in with Newbury. When the concerns of river towns are more aligned with one another and those hill towns align but get no representation.	8/27/2021 3:52 PM
120	It is best to have the districts drawn to have the people's reps be from areas as close to as many voters as possible.	8/27/2021 3:11 PM
121	Two senators per district unless Towns are split between counties and then 5 representative districts per senate district. Large Senatorial Districts (Chittenden County, Two 3 Senate districts or 3,2,2 if population requires 7 Senators.	8/27/2021 2:56 PM
122	You the government are wasting are tax dollars on senators, they have Gov, cars plates, get paid milage ,gas ,food exspences that a working person don't get,	8/27/2021 1:51 PM
123	Legislators should always represent the people who elect them. And it is important that laws not be one size fits all as they will not.	8/27/2021 12:17 PM
124	It important for Senate districts to cover a wide geographic area. If you wanted to split Chittenden into two district 3 and 3, Greater Burlington/everywhere else, that might work.	8/27/2021 11:21 AM
125	n/a	8/27/2021 10:27 AM
126	na	8/27/2021 9:56 AM
127	I prefer 2 senators per county and each town should have a minimum of 1 representative. No multi town representatives. Also districts should be divided by town lines	8/27/2021 6:56 AM
128	Single senate would at least even the playing field.	8/27/2021 6:31 AM
129	n	8/27/2021 6:31 AM
130	House members by town Senate by county	8/27/2021 6:23 AM
131	none	8/27/2021 5:50 AM
132	In the final draw, comply with the wishes of the county/towns affected. Disregarding the local voters is not good representation.	8/27/2021 4:57 AM
133	You must break up the chittenden county 6 pack as it really only serves Burlington and not the rest of the county and it dose not meet the spirit of the law	8/27/2021 4:34 AM
134	Having House districts nested within Senate districts does not allow for different representational perspectives but does foster career paths for House members. Keep towns whole in the Senate and break them up in the House as needed. Do not split some towns while leaving others intact when numbers require it.	8/27/2021 3:14 AM
135	No comment	8/27/2021 2:41 AM
136	It's amazing how Vermont has no problem putting aside the Constitution for political reasons, but when it comes representation the number of people represented has to conform the "One Person/One Vote" requirement? How convenient.	8/27/2021 12:40 AM
137	What a game. I am SURE that the intentions of our original legislative contemplations of "districting" was to provide each person in a community to be represented in legislature. Now it has morphed into the politicians protecting their electorate fiefdoms with little regard to the representation of the various communities they are supposed to serve.	8/27/2021 12:22 AM
138	Municipalities should not be divided up between different house or senate districts, with the possible exception of Burlington house districts.	8/26/2021 11:52 PM
139	House districts should remain together for purposes of accountability and to avoid confusion in voting.	8/26/2021 11:31 PM
140	With single-seat house and senate districts, each senate district should consist of the five house districts that are clustered the closest to each other. Period.	8/26/2021 11:06 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

141	In the past say Brandon was lumped in to Addison County which is stupid considering the town is in a different county.	8/26/2021 10:39 PM
142	Be as close as possible to equal representation.	8/26/2021 10:31 PM
143	...	8/26/2021 10:12 PM
144	When they are mixed, organizing legislative events where representatives can report on their work gets very complicated.	8/26/2021 9:40 PM
145	Two senators per country. If the US congress can function under that, so can Vermont.	8/26/2021 9:20 PM
146	No politics. Fair and just apportionment	8/26/2021 8:38 PM
147	no comment.	8/26/2021 8:35 PM
148	Creating districts that are simple to understand and remember are key to making voting easy. House and Senate lines should closely as possible mirror one another.	8/26/2021 8:07 PM
149	No comments	8/26/2021 7:57 PM
150	No comment	8/26/2021 7:17 PM
151	You didn't ask about Ward boundaries but I think in the cities that have them that those should be considered, too. Make it less confusing for the voters wherever possible.	8/26/2021 7:06 PM
152	Prefer single member districts, but two member districts are OK. Do NOT want 3 member districts.,	8/26/2021 7:03 PM
153	"One person- one vote". End of story.	8/26/2021 6:56 PM
154	House districts should be contained fully with in Senate districts, so there is a clear connection from one to the other	8/26/2021 6:28 PM
155	Smaller districts mean better contact with citizens. Also lease expensive campaigns.	8/26/2021 6:28 PM
156	Senators should be elected by county	8/26/2021 6:06 PM
157	Senate seats should be single district to avoid "Team Campaigns," which make it harder for independent and single candidates to break through the "team wall." A Senate district should follow multiple House district lines for ease in understanding who your senator and rep are, and to reduce town clerk's paperwork dealing with multiple candidates/ballots. Finally, and most importantly, COUNTY LINES are IMMATERIAL. In many cases throughout Vermont, cohesive social networks ignore county lines. As an aside, in the 1980s I worked on Legislative Reapportionment in NY, where I became keenly aware of the logistical issues facing communities that were split in multiple ways for multiple districts. In 2019-20 was the Outreach Specialist for the US Census in Windham, Windsor, and Orange Counties in Vermont, and gained fairly good insight into town and regional cohesion in this area.	8/26/2021 6:04 PM
158	Again my concern is disparity in climate, economics, and education.	8/26/2021 6:03 PM
159	Could not get question 9 to work. Priorities county town geography school district	8/26/2021 5:58 PM
160	We need to go back to 2 Senators per county regardless of population. Otherwise you end up with exactly the same situation our forefathers feared. Power concentrated in dense population areas. The result being single party control and no checks and balances.	8/26/2021 5:49 PM
161	Haven been on the house gov. Ops. Committee 20yrs ago doing reaporchament. And watching and testifying 10yrs ago ultimately the Senate pro tem will control the final plan for both chambers	8/26/2021 5:34 PM
162	Burlington is a prime example where multiple Senators does not work.	8/26/2021 5:22 PM
163	Senate should be two per district like national.	8/26/2021 5:21 PM
164	None	8/26/2021 5:19 PM
165	Wolcott being in Orleans/Essex when there is really no relationship with Essex is a joke. As an example. Wolcott citizens when I lived there felt like there vote for Senate didn't matter as a whole	8/26/2021 5:04 PM
166	None	8/26/2021 5:01 PM
167	None	8/26/2021 5:01 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

168	Thank you for considering public input in this process. Please give us single-member districts in the House and Senate.	8/26/2021 5:00 PM
169	.	8/26/2021 4:58 PM
170	Good luck and thanks for your service. This will be a difficult task.	8/26/2021 11:34 AM
171	Single member districts across the board!!	8/25/2021 7:39 PM
172	It is very confusing to residents when their town is split among two different districts.	8/25/2021 3:54 PM
173	I believe that the current multi-member State Senate districts dilute votes and favor incumbents. This effect is not as bad as the State House but it still exists. In terms of boundaries, I hope that the boundary drawers re-incorporate Huntington into the greater Chittenden East community as it has currently almost no representation shared with its neighbors. Being a part of the Addison Senate district and Waterbury House District. Towns in Grand Isle county should also have their unique quality respected and the influence of mainland towns on their districts should be reduced as much as possible.	8/25/2021 1:23 PM
174	Each senate district should contain 5 house districts. The same problems detailed above with multi member house districts - cost, representativeness, access - are greatly exacerbated by three member senate districts.	8/25/2021 1:09 PM
175	It seems like the way to build the Senate districts is to start by building house districts, and then just lump 5 house districts together to make a Senate district. I don't know if there are any current Senate districts that confirm to the county lines, so we might as well make it more logical to at least keep all of one house districts inside each Senate district.	8/23/2021 4:47 PM
176	Vermont Senate apportionment makes ZERO sense. Apportioning Senators based on population, goes against the purpose of a Senate - to balance the concerns of the population with the wider concerns of Counties, rural areas, etc. While I would think it ludicrous to grant Essex County an equivalent number of Senators as Chittenden County, we have reached a point where the tilt in power to the West of the State, particularly toward Chittenden County, has gone too far. The 5 counties along the Connecticut River have only 10 Senators. I would like to see every county get 1 Senator, with the remainder (16) then apportioned in a tiered system with the most Senators any county could have limited at 3.	8/20/2021 8:50 AM

**Q13 Please provide your information so that it can be considered by the Legislative Apportionment Board. Answers to #2 & #5 are required, the rest is optional.**

Answered: 634 Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Name	60.57%	384
Office (Town Clerk/JP/Citizen/Etc.)*	90.54%	574
Address	52.21%	331
Address 2	5.99%	38
City/Town*	98.42%	624
State/Province	63.88%	405
Zip/Postal Code	64.20%	407
Email Address	51.10%	324
Phone Number	43.85%	278

#	NAME	DATE
1	Thad Tallman	9/12/2021 4:52 PM
2	Donald Blake	9/11/2021 5:21 PM
3	Ian Hines	9/11/2021 9:18 AM
4	Dolores Luebke	9/10/2021 9:24 PM
5	Chuck Farrar	9/10/2021 5:03 PM
6	Charles Russell	9/10/2021 8:44 AM
7	Thomas A Hughes	9/9/2021 10:43 PM
8	Alicia Languerand	9/9/2021 8:10 PM
9	Anonymous	9/9/2021 7:58 PM
10	Kim Crosby	9/9/2021 2:35 PM
11	susan bowen	9/9/2021 1:59 PM
12	Manny Mansbach	9/9/2021 11:42 AM
13	Damien Larose	9/9/2021 11:15 AM
14	Jason Van Driesche	9/9/2021 10:38 AM
15	Brian E Wright	9/9/2021 8:48 AM
16	William Taylor	9/9/2021 6:18 AM
17	Lynn Edmunds	9/8/2021 9:22 PM
18	Ann Merrill-Griswold	9/8/2021 8:26 PM
19	Evan Hammond	9/8/2021 7:51 PM
20	Charles Thompson	9/8/2021 7:13 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

21	Fred Stone	9/8/2021 5:38 PM
22	james haddad	9/8/2021 4:30 PM
23	Tom Sullivan	9/8/2021 4:27 PM
24	John Mikhail Romanoff	9/8/2021 3:53 PM
25	Jeanine Carr	9/8/2021 3:46 PM
26	James Cochran	9/7/2021 11:43 PM
27	Pam Hart	9/7/2021 11:02 PM
28	Liz Greenberg	9/7/2021 9:13 PM
29	Bret Hamilton	9/7/2021 8:30 PM
30	Lisa Bisbee	9/7/2021 7:59 PM
31	Rebecca Golden	9/7/2021 7:40 PM
32	Joe Segale	9/7/2021 7:31 PM
33	Thomas Brown	9/7/2021 6:03 PM
34	Nancy Follett Hammond	9/7/2021 5:38 PM
35	Mary Lemaire	9/7/2021 5:08 PM
36	Jeff Compo	9/7/2021 5:04 PM
37	Jim Brent	9/7/2021 4:56 PM
38	Citizen	9/7/2021 4:54 PM
39	Daniel Fingas	9/7/2021 1:08 PM
40	Tracy Bartels	9/7/2021 8:59 AM
41	Cathy Christiansen	9/7/2021 8:02 AM
42	Miriam Berry RN	9/6/2021 8:09 AM
43	Valerie Sheehan	9/5/2021 7:47 PM
44	Ann Patterson	9/5/2021 4:58 PM
45	Jan McCann	9/5/2021 4:17 PM
46	Raoul Beaulieu	9/5/2021 2:45 PM
47	Brian Decatur	9/5/2021 1:21 PM
48	Meg Streeter	9/5/2021 8:02 AM
49	Kevin McMahon	9/5/2021 7:56 AM
50	Ron Lawrence	9/5/2021 7:43 AM
51	David H. Johnson	9/4/2021 6:31 PM
52	Mark Donka	9/4/2021 6:06 PM
53	Ken and Sue Hoeppner	9/4/2021 3:18 PM
54	paul sciortino	9/4/2021 1:23 PM
55	Rodney White	9/4/2021 1:21 PM
56	Hunter Melville	9/4/2021 8:08 AM
57	Guillaume F. McDowell	9/4/2021 7:11 AM
58	John Donohue	9/4/2021 4:27 AM
59	Steven D Pike	9/3/2021 11:15 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

60	Robert J Linto	9/3/2021 7:44 PM
61	Charles Francis	9/3/2021 5:52 PM
62	mary ellen oneill	9/3/2021 11:25 AM
63	Michael Wagner	9/3/2021 10:33 AM
64	Mary Daly	9/3/2021 8:28 AM
65	Linda Kirker	9/3/2021 8:26 AM
66	Rita Dee	9/3/2021 5:26 AM
67	Mary E. Beausoleil	9/2/2021 5:33 PM
68	Anna Rose Benson	9/2/2021 5:28 PM
69	Gail Gillam	9/2/2021 5:25 PM
70	Daniel Whipple	9/2/2021 3:12 PM
71	Patrick Black	9/2/2021 2:31 PM
72	Paul Stephens	9/2/2021 1:45 PM
73	Anna V Pilette	9/2/2021 1:10 PM
74	Judith F Roy	9/2/2021 12:14 PM
75	Brian Albarelli	9/2/2021 12:10 PM
76	Wolfger Schneider	9/2/2021 11:16 AM
77	Vickie J Haggas	9/2/2021 11:12 AM
78	Marcia Hylan	9/2/2021 10:42 AM
79	Joe Cornacchia	9/2/2021 10:10 AM
80	Robin Lehman	9/2/2021 9:46 AM
81	Mark Candon	9/2/2021 9:29 AM
82	STANLEY WILBUR	9/2/2021 9:23 AM
83	Michael Quaid	9/2/2021 9:16 AM
84	Patricia Jedlicka	9/2/2021 8:49 AM
85	Sam Farwell	9/2/2021 8:36 AM
86	Leonidas Salazar	9/2/2021 8:27 AM
87	Andrew Lemieux	9/2/2021 7:37 AM
88	John Santorello	9/2/2021 7:09 AM
89	Makeey Shedyak	9/2/2021 7:03 AM
90	Peter Taylor	9/2/2021 6:39 AM
91	Duane Merrill	9/2/2021 6:27 AM
92	Pamela Wilcox	9/2/2021 12:41 AM
93	Steven Moore	9/1/2021 8:46 PM
94	John Gannon	9/1/2021 8:46 PM
95	Edward Liner	9/1/2021 7:37 PM
96	Beth Foy	9/1/2021 7:06 PM
97	LEROY M JACKSON	9/1/2021 6:49 PM
98	Russel Farrar	9/1/2021 6:46 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

99	Major Munson	9/1/2021 6:15 PM
100	Patrick D Finnie	9/1/2021 5:54 PM
101	Martha Stretton	9/1/2021 5:28 PM
102	Sally Achey	9/1/2021 5:06 PM
103	AARON SMITH	9/1/2021 5:00 PM
104	Jason Wicks	9/1/2021 4:55 PM
105	Margaret Sunderland	9/1/2021 4:52 PM
106	John Day	9/1/2021 4:18 PM
107	Alan E Fletcher	9/1/2021 3:52 PM
108	H. Brooke Paige	9/1/2021 3:50 PM
109	Michael schmell	9/1/2021 3:39 PM
110	Stephen McConnel	9/1/2021 3:39 PM
111	Tony Redington	9/1/2021 3:28 PM
112	Paul Gross	9/1/2021 3:25 PM
113	Lance Lawyer	9/1/2021 2:35 PM
114	Richard Bailey	9/1/2021 2:30 PM
115	Mary Ann Carlson	9/1/2021 1:41 PM
116	Francis Shepard Jones	9/1/2021 1:12 PM
117	Charles Goodwin	9/1/2021 12:35 PM
118	Diana Palm	9/1/2021 12:29 PM
119	Deborah Bucknam	9/1/2021 12:19 PM
120	Frances Busa	9/1/2021 12:18 PM
121	Sarah and John Cook	9/1/2021 12:18 PM
122	Thomas Nelson	9/1/2021 12:16 PM
123	Ture Nelson	9/1/2021 12:14 PM
124	Emily Morgan	9/1/2021 12:11 PM
125	Judith Siegel	9/1/2021 12:07 PM
126	Bruce V Post	9/1/2021 11:36 AM
127	Connie Houston	9/1/2021 11:23 AM
128	Chet Greenwood	9/1/2021 11:03 AM
129	Margaret Flory	9/1/2021 10:54 AM
130	Kimberly A.E. Pritchard	9/1/2021 10:50 AM
131	Mark Schreiber	9/1/2021 10:44 AM
132	Marc Laurencelle	9/1/2021 10:37 AM
133	Mitch True	9/1/2021 10:24 AM
134	Kevin Calkins	9/1/2021 10:22 AM
135	Jeanne Norris	9/1/2021 10:14 AM
136	Johann Nortz	9/1/2021 10:14 AM
137	Marina Meerburg	9/1/2021 10:11 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

138	Dwight R Day	9/1/2021 10:06 AM
139	Robert Robinson	9/1/2021 9:50 AM
140	Allan Wylie	9/1/2021 9:48 AM
141	William J Phillips	9/1/2021 9:47 AM
142	Chris Shaw	9/1/2021 9:44 AM
143	Norman Joshua Boyden	9/1/2021 9:35 AM
144	Theresa Burke	9/1/2021 9:25 AM
145	Owen doherty	9/1/2021 9:22 AM
146	Carolyn A Lettieri	9/1/2021 9:22 AM
147	Dave Goodlin	9/1/2021 9:19 AM
148	Brenda Churchill	9/1/2021 9:16 AM
149	Michael D Root	9/1/2021 9:13 AM
150	Rev Dr Fred Freitas	9/1/2021 9:04 AM
151	Elizabeth Wilkel	9/1/2021 9:03 AM
152	Dennis McClure	9/1/2021 9:00 AM
153	Michael Kell	9/1/2021 9:00 AM
154	Rahne Murner	9/1/2021 8:57 AM
155	David Brooks	9/1/2021 8:56 AM
156	Susan Ferguson	9/1/2021 8:44 AM
157	Leesa Stewart	9/1/2021 8:39 AM
158	Marcia Martel	9/1/2021 8:38 AM
159	Christopher M. Alibozek	9/1/2021 8:37 AM
160	Lynn Newman	9/1/2021 8:36 AM
161	Stephen W. Webster	9/1/2021 8:35 AM
162	Noel W. Ford	9/1/2021 8:34 AM
163	Larry Boivin	9/1/2021 8:34 AM
164	Jeffrey Wennberg	9/1/2021 8:31 AM
165	Ryan P Palmer	9/1/2021 8:30 AM
166	Holly McLoughlin	9/1/2021 8:29 AM
167	Rick Klein	9/1/2021 8:10 AM
168	Melissa Deas	9/1/2021 6:59 AM
169	Cinda Morse	9/1/2021 3:40 AM
170	Cathy Walker	8/31/2021 10:36 PM
171	Herbert G. Ogden	8/31/2021 9:49 PM
172	Irv Thomae	8/31/2021 9:36 PM
173	John Henry Dyck	8/31/2021 9:36 PM
174	Donald E Collins	8/31/2021 9:21 PM
175	Cathy Silk	8/31/2021 8:51 PM
176	sarah G smith	8/31/2021 8:49 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

177	Joe Sherman	8/31/2021 8:36 PM
178	Jessica Thyme	8/31/2021 8:31 PM
179	Sharon I Gouwens	8/31/2021 8:16 PM
180	Nicholas Sherman	8/31/2021 7:52 PM
181	Glenn Kreig	8/31/2021 7:46 PM
182	Kimberly Hornung-Marcy	8/31/2021 6:05 PM
183	Alice Leeds	8/31/2021 6:02 PM
184	Sue Clarke	8/31/2021 5:48 PM
185	Cindy weed	8/31/2021 5:32 PM
186	Sylvia B. perera	8/31/2021 5:29 PM
187	D Gene Kraus	8/31/2021 5:27 PM
188	John Klar	8/31/2021 5:14 PM
189	Rick Hubbard	8/31/2021 4:46 PM
190	David Arsenault	8/31/2021 4:45 PM
191	Robert Keller	8/31/2021 4:38 PM
192	Bill Murray	8/31/2021 4:36 PM
193	Ann Randall	8/31/2021 4:18 PM
194	Matthew Lawrence LeFluer	8/31/2021 4:14 PM
195	Carl Bucholt	8/31/2021 4:02 PM
196	Sharon Wildey	8/31/2021 4:00 PM
197	Judith Hazelton	8/31/2021 3:54 PM
198	James R McKain	8/31/2021 3:50 PM
199	Aaron Billings	8/31/2021 3:48 PM
200	Theodore Blodgett	8/31/2021 3:45 PM
201	Kenneth Copenhaver	8/31/2021 3:43 PM
202	KIRKE MCVAY	8/31/2021 3:35 PM
203	Judy Thomas	8/31/2021 3:35 PM
204	Clifford Hamel	8/31/2021 3:31 PM
205	David McGown	8/31/2021 3:24 PM
206	James Wanner	8/31/2021 3:21 PM
207	Cassandra Barbeau	8/31/2021 3:20 PM
208	Terry Tatro	8/31/2021 3:18 PM
209	Stanley E Noga Jr.	8/31/2021 3:17 PM
210	NEAL P O'CONNOR	8/31/2021 3:15 PM
211	Brian Kirchhoff	8/31/2021 3:15 PM
212	John Pimental	8/31/2021 3:07 PM
213	Dart Everett	8/31/2021 9:22 AM
214	Daniel and Marcia Nelson	8/31/2021 9:06 AM
215	Regina Perrotto	8/31/2021 7:57 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

216	Phil Atwood	8/30/2021 10:29 PM
217	Tracie Wright	8/30/2021 9:50 PM
218	Laura Zink	8/30/2021 8:38 PM
219	Bruce Busa	8/30/2021 7:53 PM
220	Cristine White	8/30/2021 7:45 PM
221	Bruce Burks	8/30/2021 7:28 PM
222	Sandra Zawalick	8/30/2021 6:37 PM
223	Charles Wilton	8/30/2021 5:32 PM
224	Milton Newport	8/30/2021 3:38 PM
225	Patrice Maloney	8/30/2021 3:25 PM
226	Ivan St. George	8/30/2021 3:23 PM
227	Raymond Saloomey	8/30/2021 3:03 PM
228	Jim Masland	8/30/2021 2:25 PM
229	Carl Rosenquist	8/30/2021 1:14 PM
230	Stuart Mowat	8/30/2021 11:21 AM
231	Kathryn L Merriam	8/30/2021 10:40 AM
232	Gary	8/30/2021 2:19 AM
233	Robert DePino	8/29/2021 11:11 PM
234	Charles kelly	8/29/2021 10:27 AM
235	Richard Ley	8/29/2021 8:30 AM
236	Adam Liotta	8/29/2021 12:25 AM
237	Henry socinski	8/28/2021 8:31 PM
238	Danny DeCoteau	8/28/2021 8:10 PM
239	Michael McDermott	8/28/2021 6:35 PM
240	cedric sanborn	8/28/2021 4:43 PM
241	Stephen W Thurston	8/28/2021 4:15 PM
242	cecelia Wagner	8/28/2021 1:04 PM
243	George Verdon	8/28/2021 12:34 PM
244	Edward Joseph Gallo	8/28/2021 11:00 AM
245	Brian Savage	8/28/2021 9:24 AM
246	Vincent Curtis Hunter	8/28/2021 9:20 AM
247	Edward Wilson	8/28/2021 9:01 AM
248	M Carson	8/28/2021 8:51 AM
249	Eric Salat	8/28/2021 8:11 AM
250	Pamela Karr Loranger	8/28/2021 7:07 AM
251	Marty feltus	8/28/2021 12:19 AM
252	Henry ILSLEY	8/27/2021 7:02 PM
253	Douglas Bradley	8/27/2021 5:23 PM
254	A. Conrad Bellavance	8/27/2021 5:12 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

255	John McClaughry	8/27/2021 4:31 PM
256	Paul S. Belaski	8/27/2021 4:20 PM
257	Guido Sarducci	8/27/2021 4:00 PM
258	Thomas Otterman	8/27/2021 3:52 PM
259	Lawrence Hughes	8/27/2021 3:35 PM
260	Kevin Aucoin	8/27/2021 3:30 PM
261	Dawn Terrill	8/27/2021 3:22 PM
262	Bob Conlon	8/27/2021 2:58 PM
263	Christopher Howland	8/27/2021 2:56 PM
264	Charles F Webber	8/27/2021 2:47 PM
265	Robert Griswold	8/27/2021 2:33 PM
266	Dave Dalleinne	8/27/2021 2:29 PM
267	Edward Lambert	8/27/2021 1:51 PM
268	Richard Morton	8/27/2021 1:32 PM
269	John M Fogarty	8/27/2021 12:24 PM
270	Robert J Wernecke	8/27/2021 12:02 PM
271	Franklin cody	8/27/2021 11:57 AM
272	Ralph Colin	8/27/2021 11:48 AM
273	Brent Miller	8/27/2021 10:39 AM
274	Allen pdemar	8/27/2021 10:27 AM
275	Deborah Winters	8/27/2021 10:22 AM
276	Bill Bruner	8/27/2021 9:56 AM
277	Colleen A Geddis	8/27/2021 9:56 AM
278	paul d lefebvre	8/27/2021 9:51 AM
279	Hilary delaBruere	8/27/2021 9:23 AM
280	Malcolm Bliss	8/27/2021 8:54 AM
281	Thomas Riberts	8/27/2021 8:50 AM
282	John Cueman	8/27/2021 8:06 AM
283	Alan Nye	8/27/2021 7:42 AM
284	David Edson	8/27/2021 7:23 AM
285	Suzanne dePeyster	8/27/2021 7:21 AM
286	James McWain	8/27/2021 7:08 AM
287	Roderick Maclay	8/27/2021 7:05 AM
288	Tony Kinson	8/27/2021 7:02 AM
289	Lester D French	8/27/2021 6:57 AM
290	Giles boissonneault	8/27/2021 6:56 AM
291	Wanda Linzer	8/27/2021 6:31 AM
292	Lynn Gardner	8/27/2021 6:23 AM
293	Steve Bland	8/27/2021 6:09 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

294	Wendy Chapman Rieger	8/27/2021 5:50 AM
295	Brian Collamore	8/27/2021 5:47 AM
296	James B Hall	8/27/2021 4:57 AM
297	Ron hubert	8/27/2021 4:34 AM
298	Barre Pinske	8/27/2021 2:41 AM
299	Jonathan Heins	8/27/2021 12:22 AM
300	David Sordiff	8/27/2021 12:07 AM
301	Theodore Fetter	8/27/2021 12:00 AM
302	Paul White	8/26/2021 11:52 PM
303	Deborah Dougherty	8/26/2021 11:37 PM
304	Kevin H Dougherty	8/26/2021 11:31 PM
305	Richard Saganich	8/26/2021 11:06 PM
306	Carol Frenier	8/26/2021 11:05 PM
307	Michelle Chmelar	8/26/2021 10:53 PM
308	Brian Fillioe	8/26/2021 10:39 PM
309	Mike Coddling	8/26/2021 10:31 PM
310	Brock Quesnel	8/26/2021 10:24 PM
311	James Doty	8/26/2021 10:18 PM
312	Seth A. Manley	8/26/2021 10:12 PM
313	Alireza	8/26/2021 10:12 PM
314	James H MCCLAY	8/26/2021 10:11 PM
315	Peter Fagan	8/26/2021 10:02 PM
316	Bruce Shields	8/26/2021 9:40 PM
317	Michael Jasinski	8/26/2021 9:25 PM
318	edward letourneau	8/26/2021 9:20 PM
319	Barrie Etherington	8/26/2021 8:21 PM
320	David Mount	8/26/2021 8:20 PM
321	Barbara Rainville	8/26/2021 8:07 PM
322	Rob LaClair	8/26/2021 8:01 PM
323	Burditt Tom	8/26/2021 7:58 PM
324	Linda K. Myers	8/26/2021 7:57 PM
325	Rodney Graham	8/26/2021 7:54 PM
326	Terri L Williams	8/26/2021 7:42 PM
327	Virginia Fuller	8/26/2021 7:35 PM
328	Roland (Joe) Latimer	8/26/2021 7:30 PM
329	Sandra Oliver	8/26/2021 7:28 PM
330	Dwight Day	8/26/2021 7:26 PM
331	Howard O'Donovan	8/26/2021 7:07 PM
332	Randy Brock	8/26/2021 7:03 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

333	Pete Gummere	8/26/2021 6:56 PM
334	Lynn Pinsonneault	8/26/2021 6:53 PM
335	Peter Gaiotti	8/26/2021 6:51 PM
336	Earl Holtz	8/26/2021 6:39 PM
337	Dan Pipes	8/26/2021 6:25 PM
338	Shawn Benjamin	8/26/2021 6:23 PM
339	Patricia Welch	8/26/2021 6:20 PM
340	Scott Lobdell	8/26/2021 6:17 PM
341	Jeffrey Keeney	8/26/2021 6:17 PM
342	Tracy Simon	8/26/2021 6:13 PM
343	Elizabeth Tilton-Wahlert	8/26/2021 6:06 PM
344	Thomas Simmons	8/26/2021 6:04 PM
345	Lesley Bienvenue	8/26/2021 6:03 PM
346	Joe luneau	8/26/2021 5:58 PM
347	George wilson	8/26/2021 5:50 PM
348	Jon oakman	8/26/2021 5:49 PM
349	William Round	8/26/2021 5:49 PM
350	Elizabeth Rossetti	8/26/2021 5:49 PM
351	Chris Sulzman	8/26/2021 5:40 PM
352	Peggy Beauchemin	8/26/2021 5:37 PM
353	Joseph Baker	8/26/2021 5:34 PM
354	Michael Guernsey	8/26/2021 5:22 PM
355	James	8/26/2021 5:21 PM
356	Patrick Kelly	8/26/2021 5:19 PM
357	Peter Ludlow	8/26/2021 5:18 PM
358	Chris Roy	8/26/2021 5:17 PM
359	paul anderson	8/26/2021 5:15 PM
360	Chris	8/26/2021 5:14 PM
361	Scott M Dobrowolski	8/26/2021 5:13 PM
362	Tanner D. Palmer	8/26/2021 5:12 PM
363	Barb Peterson	8/26/2021 5:10 PM
364	Judith Todd	8/26/2021 5:06 PM
365	Lee Martenson	8/26/2021 5:04 PM
366	Mark H Morse	8/26/2021 5:04 PM
367	Jeremy Ayotte	8/26/2021 5:04 PM
368	Charles Wilson	8/26/2021 5:01 PM
369	Jed Rubin	8/26/2021 5:01 PM
370	Seth Hopkins	8/26/2021 5:00 PM
371	Cody Giroux	8/26/2021 4:58 PM

Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

372	Bill Waldrep	8/26/2021 4:56 PM
373	Robert Bancroft	8/26/2021 4:54 PM
374	Erica Walch	8/26/2021 4:54 PM
375	Michael Wagner	8/26/2021 4:54 PM
376	Donald E Lagro Jr	8/26/2021 4:53 PM
377	Mary Jane Grace	8/26/2021 11:34 AM
378	Shelden Goodwin	8/25/2021 7:48 PM
379	June Heston	8/25/2021 3:54 PM
380	Nick Cook	8/25/2021 1:23 PM
381	Jim Dandeneau	8/25/2021 1:09 PM
382	Paul Dame	8/23/2021 4:47 PM
383	Alan Smith	8/21/2021 7:13 PM
384	Leon H Smith	8/20/2021 8:50 AM
#	OFFICE (TOWN CLERK/JP/CITIZEN/ETC.)*	DATE
1	JP	9/12/2021 4:52 PM
2	JP	9/11/2021 5:21 PM
3	Winooski	9/11/2021 9:18 AM
4	Citizen	9/10/2021 9:24 PM
5	citizen	9/10/2021 8:34 PM
6	Troy	9/10/2021 5:03 PM
7	JP	9/10/2021 8:44 AM
8	Hyde Park	9/9/2021 8:10 PM
9	Retired	9/9/2021 4:04 PM
10	Rutland	9/9/2021 2:35 PM
11	jp	9/9/2021 1:59 PM
12	Select Board member	9/9/2021 1:23 PM
13	Citizen	9/9/2021 11:15 AM
14	citizen	9/9/2021 10:38 AM
15	Citizen	9/9/2021 10:18 AM
16	Waterbury Village Historic District	9/9/2021 8:48 AM
17	Huntington	9/9/2021 8:26 AM
18	Bridport	9/9/2021 6:18 AM
19	Citizen	9/8/2021 11:55 PM
20	Wallingford	9/8/2021 9:22 PM
21	Citizen	9/8/2021 8:26 PM
22	Citizen, Town Auditor	9/8/2021 7:51 PM
23	Homemaker Citizen	9/8/2021 6:05 PM
24	Pawlet	9/8/2021 5:38 PM
25	citizen	9/8/2021 5:23 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

26	citizen voter	9/8/2021 4:30 PM
27	Citizen	9/8/2021 4:27 PM
28	Zoning Administrator	9/8/2021 3:53 PM
29	Citizen	9/8/2021 3:46 PM
30	Citizen	9/8/2021 10:18 AM
31	citizen	9/8/2021 8:32 AM
32	JP/Citizen	9/8/2021 6:08 AM
33	citizen	9/7/2021 11:43 PM
34	Citizen	9/7/2021 11:02 PM
35	Citizen	9/7/2021 11:00 PM
36	Citizen	9/7/2021 9:56 PM
37	Citizen	9/7/2021 9:15 PM
38	Huntington	9/7/2021 9:13 PM
39	Citizen	9/7/2021 9:12 PM
40	Citizen	9/7/2021 8:30 PM
41	Citizen	9/7/2021 8:21 PM
42	Citizen	9/7/2021 7:59 PM
43	Citizen	9/7/2021 7:40 PM
44	Citizen	9/7/2021 7:31 PM
45	citizen	9/7/2021 7:28 PM
46	Citizen	9/7/2021 5:52 PM
47	Citizen	9/7/2021 5:38 PM
48	Citizen	9/7/2021 5:38 PM
49	citizen	9/7/2021 5:08 PM
50	Citizen	9/7/2021 5:05 PM
51	Citizen	9/7/2021 5:04 PM
52	Citizen	9/7/2021 1:08 PM
53	Whitingham	9/7/2021 8:59 AM
54	Citizen	9/7/2021 8:22 AM
55	Citizen	9/6/2021 3:17 PM
56	Essex (Jct)	9/6/2021 8:09 AM
57	Derby	9/5/2021 7:47 PM
58	Citizen	9/5/2021 4:27 PM
59	rochester	9/5/2021 4:17 PM
60	town clerk	9/5/2021 2:45 PM
61	Fairfax	9/5/2021 1:21 PM
62	Citizen	9/5/2021 11:39 AM
63	Citizen	9/5/2021 8:46 AM
64	citizen	9/5/2021 8:02 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

65	Citizen	9/5/2021 7:56 AM
66	Essex (town of residence)	9/5/2021 7:43 AM
67	Treasurer, Lister, Justice of the Peace	9/4/2021 6:31 PM
68	Hartford	9/4/2021 6:06 PM
69	Jeffersonville,VT	9/4/2021 3:18 PM
70	Irasburg	9/4/2021 2:28 PM
71	Wolcott	9/4/2021 2:05 PM
72	Burlington city hall	9/4/2021 1:38 PM
73	Cambridge	9/4/2021 1:23 PM
74	Manchester	9/4/2021 11:35 AM
75	Justice of the Peace	9/4/2021 10:30 AM
76	citizen	9/4/2021 10:26 AM
77	JP, State Rep.	9/4/2021 7:49 AM
78	Citizen	9/4/2021 7:11 AM
79	JP	9/4/2021 4:27 AM
80	JP	9/3/2021 11:15 PM
81	Citizen	9/3/2021 7:44 PM
82	citizen	9/3/2021 5:52 PM
83	citizen	9/3/2021 5:39 PM
84	Essex	9/3/2021 12:54 PM
85	buels gore	9/3/2021 11:25 AM
86	Perkinsville	9/3/2021 10:33 AM
87	Citizen	9/3/2021 10:18 AM
88	former moderator, former lister, former zoning board member	9/3/2021 9:12 AM
89	Peacham	9/3/2021 8:28 AM
90	Georgia	9/3/2021 8:26 AM
91	Bennington	9/3/2021 5:26 AM
92	NEWARK	9/2/2021 10:49 PM
93	resident	9/2/2021 5:33 PM
94	citizen	9/2/2021 5:28 PM
95	Clarendon	9/2/2021 5:25 PM
96	Citizen	9/2/2021 4:57 PM
97	taxpayer	9/2/2021 3:12 PM
98	Citizen	9/2/2021 2:31 PM
99	Huntington	9/2/2021 1:45 PM
100	Cambrdge	9/2/2021 1:23 PM
101	Citizen, Former Selectman	9/2/2021 1:10 PM
102	citizen	9/2/2021 12:14 PM
103	Citizen	9/2/2021 11:16 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

104	Brandon	9/2/2021 11:12 AM
105	Citizen	9/2/2021 10:42 AM
106	Essex Town	9/2/2021 10:30 AM
107	ESSEX JUNCTION	9/2/2021 10:10 AM
108	Citizen	9/2/2021 10:02 AM
109	Springfield	9/2/2021 9:58 AM
110	citizen	9/2/2021 9:46 AM
111	Rutland City	9/2/2021 9:29 AM
112	Citizen	9/2/2021 9:23 AM
113	Fletcher, Vermont	9/2/2021 8:49 AM
114	Dummerston Planning Commission	9/2/2021 8:36 AM
115	Citizen	9/2/2021 8:34 AM
116	Lister	9/2/2021 8:27 AM
117	Citizen	9/2/2021 7:57 AM
118	Citizen	9/2/2021 7:37 AM
119	Essex	9/2/2021 7:03 AM
120	Citizen	9/2/2021 6:39 AM
121	Brownington	9/2/2021 12:41 AM
122	Barre Town	9/1/2021 9:08 PM
123	Representative/Selectboard member	9/1/2021 8:46 PM
124	Citizen	9/1/2021 8:07 PM
125	Citizen	9/1/2021 7:53 PM
126	citizen	9/1/2021 7:13 PM
127	Rosemary Audibert, Johnson Town Clerk	9/1/2021 7:06 PM
128	Citizen	9/1/2021 6:46 PM
129	Citizen	9/1/2021 6:43 PM
130	Milton	9/1/2021 6:36 PM
131	Planning Commissioner	9/1/2021 6:26 PM
132	Citizen	9/1/2021 6:15 PM
133	Calais	9/1/2021 5:54 PM
134	citizen	9/1/2021 5:35 PM
135	Anita Sheldon	9/1/2021 5:34 PM
136	Citizen	9/1/2021 5:28 PM
137	Citizen	9/1/2021 5:19 PM
138	State Rep.; Town Lister	9/1/2021 5:06 PM
139	Citizen	9/1/2021 5:00 PM
140	JP	9/1/2021 4:52 PM
141	Town of bennington	9/1/2021 4:45 PM
142	Citizen	9/1/2021 4:33 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

143	Burlington	9/1/2021 4:32 PM
144	Citizen, DRB and Water Commission Chair	9/1/2021 4:18 PM
145	Cotzen	9/1/2021 4:17 PM
146	Richford	9/1/2021 3:52 PM
147	Washington	9/1/2021 3:50 PM
148	citizen	9/1/2021 3:49 PM
149	Townshend	9/1/2021 3:39 PM
150	Citizen	9/1/2021 3:28 PM
151	Chair / Jericho Town GOP	9/1/2021 3:25 PM
152	Selectboard	9/1/2021 2:35 PM
153	Rutland Town JP	9/1/2021 2:30 PM
154	Citizen	9/1/2021 2:22 PM
155	Citizen	9/1/2021 1:45 PM
156	Board of Civil Authority, JP	9/1/2021 1:41 PM
157	Citizen	9/1/2021 1:12 PM
158	JP	9/1/2021 12:37 PM
159	Selectboard, Rep to RPC, JP	9/1/2021 12:35 PM
160	Citizen	9/1/2021 12:29 PM
161	Sharon Lucia	9/1/2021 12:26 PM
162	Vice Chair Vt GOP	9/1/2021 12:19 PM
163	Readsboro?Amber Holland	9/1/2021 12:18 PM
164	Listers	9/1/2021 12:18 PM
165	Citizen	9/1/2021 12:16 PM
166	Citizen	9/1/2021 12:11 PM
167	citizen	9/1/2021 11:47 AM
168	citizen	9/1/2021 11:46 AM
169	JP	9/1/2021 11:36 AM
170	1004 Botsford Rd	9/1/2021 11:23 AM
171	N/A	9/1/2021 11:20 AM
172	Colchester, Vt	9/1/2021 11:13 AM
173	Poultney	9/1/2021 11:11 AM
174	Citizen	9/1/2021 11:04 AM
175	Chair Town GOP Committee	9/1/2021 11:03 AM
176	Citizen	9/1/2021 11:01 AM
177	Citizen	9/1/2021 10:59 AM
178	JP	9/1/2021 10:54 AM
179	Castleton	9/1/2021 10:50 AM
180	Chittenden	9/1/2021 10:37 AM
181	citizen	9/1/2021 10:37 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

182	Fairfax	9/1/2021 10:24 AM
183	JP	9/1/2021 10:22 AM
184	JP	9/1/2021 10:14 AM
185	Citizen	9/1/2021 10:14 AM
186	Citizen	9/1/2021 10:14 AM
187	JP	9/1/2021 10:11 AM
188	Citizen	9/1/2021 10:06 AM
189	essex	9/1/2021 9:51 AM
190	JP	9/1/2021 9:44 AM
191	Citizen	9/1/2021 9:34 AM
192	Citizen	9/1/2021 9:32 AM
193	Rutland City	9/1/2021 9:26 AM
194	Citizen	9/1/2021 9:25 AM
195	Wheelock	9/1/2021 9:25 AM
196	Essex	9/1/2021 9:24 AM
197	Morrisville	9/1/2021 9:19 AM
198	Citizen	9/1/2021 9:18 AM
199	Shelburne	9/1/2021 9:18 AM
200	citizen	9/1/2021 9:17 AM
201	Select board & JP	9/1/2021 9:16 AM
202	Selectman	9/1/2021 9:13 AM
203	Citizen, Retired VTARNG	9/1/2021 9:10 AM
204	Citizen	9/1/2021 9:04 AM
205	Citizen	9/1/2021 9:02 AM
206	No office held now. I am the retired Orange County Sheriff.	9/1/2021 9:00 AM
207	Cavendish	9/1/2021 9:00 AM
208	Barre Town	9/1/2021 8:57 AM
209	Citizen	9/1/2021 8:56 AM
210	Voter	9/1/2021 8:52 AM
211	Citizen	9/1/2021 8:50 AM
212	Citizen	9/1/2021 8:48 AM
213	Fletcher	9/1/2021 8:44 AM
214	Legislator	9/1/2021 8:38 AM
215	Citizen	9/1/2021 8:38 AM
216	Fletcher	9/1/2021 8:38 AM
217	HARTLAND	9/1/2021 8:37 AM
218	Citizen	9/1/2021 8:37 AM
219	Underhill	9/1/2021 8:36 AM
220	former legislator; volunteer treasurer of White River Valley Ambulance, Inc.	9/1/2021 8:35 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

221	Barton	9/1/2021 8:34 AM
222	Burlington	9/1/2021 8:34 AM
223	Essex	9/1/2021 8:33 AM
224	St Albans Town	9/1/2021 8:33 AM
225	Citizen	9/1/2021 8:32 AM
226	Citizen	9/1/2021 8:31 AM
227	Selectboard, Windsor	9/1/2021 8:30 AM
228	Citizen	9/1/2021 8:29 AM
229	citizen	9/1/2021 8:13 AM
230	Panton	9/1/2021 8:10 AM
231	Leicester	9/1/2021 7:57 AM
232	citizen	9/1/2021 6:08 AM
233	Retired citizen, past select board member, current regional planning commissioner	9/1/2021 3:40 AM
234	Warren	9/1/2021 1:18 AM
235	Citizen	8/31/2021 10:47 PM
236	Citizen	8/31/2021 10:36 PM
237	Resident	8/31/2021 10:15 PM
238	Justice of the Peace and School District Board Chair	8/31/2021 9:49 PM
239	citizen; town delegate to CUD Governing Board (& former GB chair)	8/31/2021 9:36 PM
240	N Bennington	8/31/2021 9:36 PM
241	JP	8/31/2021 9:21 PM
242	Citizen	8/31/2021 9:20 PM
243	CITIZEN	8/31/2021 9:17 PM
244	BURLINGTON	8/31/2021 8:53 PM
245	Citizen	8/31/2021 8:51 PM
246	former JP, citizen	8/31/2021 8:49 PM
247	Marshfield	8/31/2021 8:48 PM
248	Montgomery	8/31/2021 8:36 PM
249	Citizen	8/31/2021 8:36 PM
250	Citizen	8/31/2021 8:31 PM
251	No office	8/31/2021 8:16 PM
252	Mt Holly	8/31/2021 7:46 PM
253	citizen	8/31/2021 7:26 PM
254	Burlington	8/31/2021 7:21 PM
255	Williston	8/31/2021 6:05 PM
256	Citizen	8/31/2021 6:05 PM
257	citizen	8/31/2021 6:02 PM
258	Stowe	8/31/2021 5:59 PM
259	Citizen	8/31/2021 5:48 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

260	Citizen, former state rep.	8/31/2021 5:32 PM
261	citizen	8/31/2021 5:29 PM
262	Selectboard member	8/31/2021 5:27 PM
263	Brookfield	8/31/2021 5:14 PM
264	Town clerk	8/31/2021 4:57 PM
265	Jp	8/31/2021 4:49 PM
266	Citizen, native Vermonter, retired attorney and former economic consultant.	8/31/2021 4:46 PM
267	Northfield	8/31/2021 4:45 PM
268	Bolton	8/31/2021 4:40 PM
269	Citizen	8/31/2021 4:38 PM
270	Brattleboro	8/31/2021 4:38 PM
271	school clerk	8/31/2021 4:33 PM
272	citizen	8/31/2021 4:18 PM
273	Alburgh Town. Office	8/31/2021 4:14 PM
274	Citizen	8/31/2021 4:08 PM
275	South Hero	8/31/2021 4:06 PM
276	citizen	8/31/2021 4:05 PM
277	Essex	8/31/2021 4:05 PM
278	citizen	8/31/2021 4:02 PM
279	citizen	8/31/2021 4:00 PM
280	Citizen	8/31/2021 3:59 PM
281	Megan LaChance	8/31/2021 3:54 PM
282	Pownal	8/31/2021 3:54 PM
283	Shrewsbury	8/31/2021 3:50 PM
284	citizen	8/31/2021 3:45 PM
285	citizen	8/31/2021 3:36 PM
286	SHAFTSBURY	8/31/2021 3:35 PM
287	Citizen	8/31/2021 3:35 PM
288	Weybridge	8/31/2021 3:33 PM
289	Citizen	8/31/2021 3:33 PM
290	Jericho	8/31/2021 3:31 PM
291	Citizen	8/31/2021 3:28 PM
292	Citizen	8/31/2021 3:25 PM
293	Enosburg Falls	8/31/2021 3:24 PM
294	Fairfax	8/31/2021 3:24 PM
295	Citizen	8/31/2021 3:21 PM
296	citizen	8/31/2021 3:21 PM
297	Town Clerk	8/31/2021 3:20 PM
298	Tax Collector	8/31/2021 3:18 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

299	Citizen, Selectman, JP	8/31/2021 3:17 PM
300	citizen	8/31/2021 3:17 PM
301	CITIZEN	8/31/2021 3:15 PM
302	Citizen	8/31/2021 3:15 PM
303	Bethel	8/31/2021 3:14 PM
304	Citizen	8/31/2021 3:13 PM
305	Citizen	8/31/2021 3:13 PM
306	Citizen	8/31/2021 3:12 PM
307	Shelburne	8/31/2021 3:12 PM
308	Citizen	8/31/2021 3:11 PM
309	citizen	8/31/2021 3:10 PM
310	Williston	8/31/2021 3:08 PM
311	East Randolph	8/31/2021 3:07 PM
312	Citizen	8/31/2021 10:47 AM
313	Citizen	8/31/2021 9:06 AM
314	West Dover	8/31/2021 7:57 AM
315	Citizen	8/30/2021 7:53 PM
316	Citizen	8/30/2021 7:45 PM
317	Citizen	8/30/2021 7:28 PM
318	Guilford	8/30/2021 6:37 PM
319	JP	8/30/2021 5:32 PM
320	Pittsford	8/30/2021 4:28 PM
321	citizen	8/30/2021 3:38 PM
322	citizen	8/30/2021 3:25 PM
323	Vergennes	8/30/2021 3:23 PM
324	Bakersfield	8/30/2021 3:03 PM
325	Representative	8/30/2021 2:25 PM
326	Citizen	8/30/2021 1:49 PM
327	Georgia	8/30/2021 1:14 PM
328	Citizen	8/30/2021 12:08 PM
329	Citizen	8/30/2021 12:02 PM
330	citizen	8/30/2021 12:00 PM
331	Voter	8/30/2021 11:22 AM
332	Citizen	8/30/2021 11:21 AM
333	Cassandra Barbeau	8/30/2021 10:44 AM
334	Citizen	8/30/2021 10:40 AM
335	Essex Town Clerk	8/30/2021 2:19 AM
336	Citizen	8/29/2021 11:11 PM
337	shelburne	8/29/2021 5:25 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

338	school board member	8/29/2021 5:15 PM
339	Citizen	8/29/2021 4:23 PM
340	Citizen	8/29/2021 2:47 PM
341	Citizen	8/29/2021 2:05 PM
342	Alburgh	8/29/2021 10:27 AM
343	Clarendon	8/29/2021 8:30 AM
344	Colchester	8/28/2021 11:00 PM
345	St. Albans Town	8/28/2021 9:53 PM
346	Citizen - DRB member	8/28/2021 8:51 PM
347	Cem. Comm.	8/28/2021 8:31 PM
348	BARRE	8/28/2021 8:10 PM
349	Citizen	8/28/2021 6:35 PM
350	citizen	8/28/2021 4:43 PM
351	Ferrisburgh	8/28/2021 4:15 PM
352	Citizen	8/28/2021 1:04 PM
353	Colchester, VT	8/28/2021 12:34 PM
354	JP	8/28/2021 11:00 AM
355	JP	8/28/2021 10:25 AM
356	State Representative	8/28/2021 9:24 AM
357	Bolton	8/28/2021 9:20 AM
358	JP	8/28/2021 9:01 AM
359	Citizen	8/28/2021 8:51 AM
360	JP	8/28/2021 8:43 AM
361	Citizen	8/28/2021 8:11 AM
362	Selectboard	8/28/2021 7:07 AM
363	Representative	8/28/2021 12:19 AM
364	Citizen	8/27/2021 11:44 PM
365	Citizen	8/27/2021 11:00 PM
366	citizen	8/27/2021 10:51 PM
367	JP/ one of two Town Political Party Chairs,	8/27/2021 7:02 PM
368	Rutland	8/27/2021 5:53 PM
369	Newport Town	8/27/2021 5:12 PM
370	Anita Sheldon	8/27/2021 4:55 PM
371	ex House, Senate	8/27/2021 4:31 PM
372	JP/Citizen	8/27/2021 4:20 PM
373	citizen	8/27/2021 4:14 PM
374	VOTING CITIZEN	8/27/2021 4:00 PM
375	Selectman, JP	8/27/2021 3:52 PM
376	Williston	8/27/2021 3:35 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

377	Newark	8/27/2021 3:30 PM
378	Colchester	8/27/2021 3:22 PM
379	Citizen	8/27/2021 3:11 PM
380	Citizen	8/27/2021 2:58 PM
381	Justice of the Peace	8/27/2021 2:56 PM
382	Citizen	8/27/2021 2:47 PM
383	citizen	8/27/2021 2:33 PM
384	Citizen	8/27/2021 2:29 PM
385	UNDERHILL	8/27/2021 2:16 PM
386	Citizen	8/27/2021 1:58 PM
387	Franklin county	8/27/2021 1:51 PM
388	Representative	8/27/2021 1:37 PM
389	Select Board Member	8/27/2021 1:33 PM
390	BRATTLEBORO	8/27/2021 1:32 PM
391	Citizen	8/27/2021 1:28 PM
392	Citizen	8/27/2021 12:22 PM
393	Citizen	8/27/2021 12:17 PM
394	Chair, DRB- Citizen	8/27/2021 12:02 PM
395	Burlington	8/27/2021 11:57 AM
396	Dorset	8/27/2021 11:48 AM
397	NA	8/27/2021 11:21 AM
398	Citizen	8/27/2021 11:18 AM
399	Town Clerk	8/27/2021 10:39 AM
400	citizen	8/27/2021 10:27 AM
401	Enosburg fallsq	8/27/2021 10:27 AM
402	Swanton	8/27/2021 10:22 AM
403	Manchester	8/27/2021 10:21 AM
404	Fayston	8/27/2021 10:09 AM
405	Kim Moulton	8/27/2021 9:56 AM
406	West Burke	8/27/2021 9:56 AM
407	Island Pond	8/27/2021 9:51 AM
408	JP	8/27/2021 9:50 AM
409	Colchester	8/27/2021 9:23 AM
410	Rutland City	8/27/2021 8:54 AM
411	Citizen	8/27/2021 8:50 AM
412	citizen	8/27/2021 8:48 AM
413	Pittsford	8/27/2021 8:47 AM
414	Citizen	8/27/2021 8:15 AM
415	Citizen	8/27/2021 8:13 AM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

416	Citizen	8/27/2021 8:06 AM
417	Citizen	8/27/2021 8:01 AM
418	Citizen	8/27/2021 7:42 AM
419	Planning Commission	8/27/2021 7:23 AM
420	Citizen	8/27/2021 7:21 AM
421	Citizen	8/27/2021 7:19 AM
422	Citizen	8/27/2021 7:12 AM
423	citizen	8/27/2021 7:08 AM
424	Ciuizen	8/27/2021 7:02 AM
425	Brattleboro	8/27/2021 6:57 AM
426	St Albans town	8/27/2021 6:56 AM
427	Woodbury	8/27/2021 6:36 AM
428	Grumpy Taxpayer	8/27/2021 6:31 AM
429	Citizen	8/27/2021 6:31 AM
430	JP	8/27/2021 6:23 AM
431	Citizen	8/27/2021 6:20 AM
432	citizen	8/27/2021 6:03 AM
433	none	8/27/2021 5:50 AM
434	Senator	8/27/2021 5:47 AM
435	CTR RUTLAND	8/27/2021 4:57 AM
436	Former house member	8/27/2021 4:34 AM
437	citizen	8/27/2021 3:14 AM
438	Planning Board Member	8/27/2021 2:41 AM
439	Citizen	8/27/2021 1:00 AM
440	Citizen	8/27/2021 12:40 AM
441	Citizen and Voter	8/27/2021 12:22 AM
442	Ferrisburgh	8/27/2021 12:07 AM
443	Citizen	8/27/2021 12:00 AM
444	Citizen	8/26/2021 11:59 PM
445	Selectboard Chair	8/26/2021 11:52 PM
446	Citizen	8/26/2021 11:37 PM
447	Auditor/JP/Representative to Ambulance Board	8/26/2021 11:31 PM
448	Citizen	8/26/2021 11:06 PM
449	citizen	8/26/2021 11:05 PM
450	Citizen	8/26/2021 10:53 PM
451	Citizen	8/26/2021 10:50 PM
452	Citizen	8/26/2021 10:50 PM
453	Citizen	8/26/2021 10:39 PM
454	JP	8/26/2021 10:31 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

455	Cabot	8/26/2021 10:27 PM
456	Citizen	8/26/2021 10:24 PM
457	Justice of the Peace	8/26/2021 10:14 PM
458	Essex Junction	8/26/2021 10:12 PM
459	New Haven	8/26/2021 10:11 PM
460	State Representative	8/26/2021 10:02 PM
461	Shelburne	8/26/2021 9:59 PM
462	Lister (retired) Eden	8/26/2021 9:40 PM
463	State Representative	8/26/2021 9:37 PM
464	Citizen	8/26/2021 9:25 PM
465	citizen	8/26/2021 9:20 PM
466	Citizen	8/26/2021 9:07 PM
467	Citizen	8/26/2021 8:52 PM
468	JP	8/26/2021 8:38 PM
469	Bridgewater JP	8/26/2021 8:37 PM
470	Citizen	8/26/2021 8:35 PM
471	None	8/26/2021 8:21 PM
472	Citizen	8/26/2021 8:08 PM
473	Emergency Manager, former Selectboard and ZBA	8/26/2021 8:07 PM
474	Citizen	8/26/2021 8:06 PM
475	Poultney	8/26/2021 8:03 PM
476	Representative	8/26/2021 8:01 PM
477	Citizen	8/26/2021 7:59 PM
478	State Representative	8/26/2021 7:58 PM
479	Retired legislator; JP	8/26/2021 7:57 PM
480	Citizen	8/26/2021 7:56 PM
481	citizen	8/26/2021 7:55 PM
482	State representative	8/26/2021 7:54 PM
483	citizen	8/26/2021 7:49 PM
484	Stockbridge	8/26/2021 7:43 PM
485	Representative	8/26/2021 7:42 PM
486	JP	8/26/2021 7:35 PM
487	North Hero	8/26/2021 7:30 PM
488	Citizen	8/26/2021 7:28 PM
489	Citizen	8/26/2021 7:26 PM
490	Citizen	8/26/2021 7:17 PM
491	Citizen	8/26/2021 7:07 PM
492	Citizen	8/26/2021 7:06 PM
493	Living soul in VT.	8/26/2021 7:04 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

494	State Senator	8/26/2021 7:03 PM
495	Citizen	8/26/2021 6:55 PM
496	Tinmouth	8/26/2021 6:54 PM
497	Pownal	8/26/2021 6:53 PM
498	Citizen	8/26/2021 6:51 PM
499	Home Owner	8/26/2021 6:50 PM
500	Citizen	8/26/2021 6:45 PM
501	BENNINGTON	8/26/2021 6:44 PM
502	Hartford	8/26/2021 6:40 PM
503	halifax	8/26/2021 6:39 PM
504	Citizen	8/26/2021 6:28 PM
505	JP	8/26/2021 6:28 PM
506	Republican town chair	8/26/2021 6:25 PM
507	Saint Albans City	8/26/2021 6:23 PM
508	Citizen	8/26/2021 6:20 PM
509	JP	8/26/2021 6:17 PM
510	Planning Commission	8/26/2021 6:17 PM
511	JP	8/26/2021 6:13 PM
512	essex junction	8/26/2021 6:12 PM
513	Citizen	8/26/2021 6:06 PM
514	Planning Comm, BZA, JP	8/26/2021 6:06 PM
515	Leicester	8/26/2021 6:03 PM
516	Citizen	8/26/2021 6:03 PM
517	Jp	8/26/2021 5:58 PM
518	Town Moderator	8/26/2021 5:55 PM
519	Citizen	8/26/2021 5:53 PM
520	Diane vachon	8/26/2021 5:50 PM
521	Concerned Rep cotizen	8/26/2021 5:49 PM
522	Newport Center	8/26/2021 5:49 PM
523	18 O'Brien Dr	8/26/2021 5:49 PM
524	Manchester	8/26/2021 5:48 PM
525	Citizen	8/26/2021 5:40 PM
526	Julie Hance	8/26/2021 5:37 PM
527	Monkton (legal)	8/26/2021 5:37 PM
528	Former state representative	8/26/2021 5:34 PM
529	Berkshire	8/26/2021 5:28 PM
530	Citizen	8/26/2021 5:28 PM
531	JP	8/26/2021 5:25 PM
532	Orleans	8/26/2021 5:24 PM

## Vermont Legislative Redistricting Survey

533	Citizen	8/26/2021 5:22 PM
534	Legislature	8/26/2021 5:21 PM
535	Hinesburg	8/26/2021 5:19 PM
536	Citizen, Former Selectboard Member	8/26/2021 5:17 PM
537	Williston	8/26/2021 5:15 PM
538	Fairfax	8/26/2021 5:15 PM
539	Citizen	8/26/2021 5:15 PM
540	none	8/26/2021 5:14 PM
541	Williston	8/26/2021 5:13 PM
542	Citizen	8/26/2021 5:12 PM
543	Citizen	8/26/2021 5:12 PM
544	Clarendon	8/26/2021 5:10 PM
545	Citizen	8/26/2021 5:06 PM
546	citizen	8/26/2021 5:05 PM
547	Orange JP	8/26/2021 5:04 PM
548	citizen	8/26/2021 5:04 PM
549	JP	8/26/2021 5:04 PM
550	Citizen	8/26/2021 5:01 PM
551	Lyndonville	8/26/2021 5:01 PM
552	Lister, Planning Commission, DRB	8/26/2021 5:01 PM
553	Citizen (also JP & selectboard member)	8/26/2021 5:00 PM
554	Citizen	8/26/2021 4:58 PM
555	Citizen	8/26/2021 4:57 PM
556	Essex Junction	8/26/2021 4:56 PM
557	Citizen	8/26/2021 4:55 PM
558	WESTFORD	8/26/2021 4:54 PM
559	Citizen	8/26/2021 4:54 PM
560	Citizen	8/26/2021 4:53 PM
561	Ludlow	8/26/2021 4:53 PM
562	private citizen	8/26/2021 11:34 AM
563	Citizen	8/25/2021 8:47 PM
564	Citizen	8/25/2021 7:48 PM
565	Citizen, former JP	8/25/2021 7:39 PM
566	Selectboard	8/25/2021 3:54 PM
567	Citizen/Campaign Volunteer	8/25/2021 1:23 PM
568	Citizen	8/25/2021 1:13 PM
569	Citizen	8/25/2021 1:11 PM
570	Citizen	8/25/2021 1:09 PM
571	Town Auditor	8/23/2021 4:47 PM