

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Michele Childs <[MCHILDS@leg.state.vt.us](mailto:MCHILDS@leg.state.vt.us)>  
**To:** "Maxine Grad (>, "Willem Jewett >  
**Subject:** FW: H.86; Vermont UIFSA  
**Date:** April 9, 2015 at 1:59:10 PM GMT-4

See below for discussion of one word change to Senate bill. Just technical correcting a very old error in the original uniform act.

Michele R. Childs, Esq.  
Legislative Counsel, Vermont Office of Legislative Council  
Senate and House Committees on Judiciary  
(802)828-2231

**From:** Arnell, Robin [<mailto:Robin.Arnell@state.vt.us>]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 23, 2015 1:08 PM  
**To:** Michele Childs  
**Subject:** FW: H.86; Vermont UIFSA

Hello, Michele.

We have received word that the federal OCSE is ok with the word change to rendition. Thanks.

**From:** Kenher, Charles (ACF) [<mailto:charles.kenher@acf.hhs.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 23, 2015 12:17 PM  
**To:** Arnell, Robin  
**Cc:** Cohen, Jeff; Killmurray, Tom (ACF)  
**Subject:** RE: H.86; Vermont UIFSA

Hi, Robin.

We've received clarification from our Policy Division that the word change to "rendition" you described will **not** be a problem in terms of the UIFSA 2008 verbatim requirement. Thanks for keeping us updated on the legislation's progress. Please let Tom or me know if you have any questions.

Chuck

CHARLES KENHER  
OCSE Regional Program Manager  
Region 1 - Boston  
617 565-2477

**From:** Arnell, Robin [<mailto:Robin.Arnell@state.vt.us>]  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 22, 2015 12:05 PM  
**To:** Killmurray, Tom (ACF)  
**Cc:** Kenher, Charles (ACF); Cohen, Jeff  
**Subject:** FW: H.86; Vermont UIFSA

Hi, Tom.

The Vermont Senate Judiciary took up H. 86 (Vermont's version of UIFSA 2008) last week. A question came up relative to the use of the term "extradition" in section 801. (Vermont would prefer to use the term "rendition". I have attached the email thread below for more background.) Lindsay Beaver from the ULC contacted John Sampson and Battle Robinson and confirmed that to change the term to "rendition" would not create an issue with respect to uniformity from the ULC's perspective but urges that we check with the OCSE. I received an email this morning from Legislative Counsel saying that the Vermont Senate Judiciary Committee would like to change the wording from "extradition" to "rendition", could you please check with your people to make sure Vermont remains in compliance if that wording is changed?

I am told that the SJ committee is inclined to vote out of committee next Wednesday if this slight change may occur. Thank you.

Robin

---

**From:** Michele Childs [<mailto:MCHILDS@leg.state.vt.us>]  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 21, 2015 8:03 AM  
**To:** Lindsay Beaver  
**Cc:** Arnell, Robin; Matthew Yorzinski; Richard Cassidy; John Sampson; Battle Robinson  
**Subject:** Re: H.86; Vermont UIFSA

Thanks, Lindsay. I'll share with the Committee.

Sent from my iPad

On Mar 20, 2015, at 9:29 PM, Lindsay Beaver <[lbeaver@uniformlaws.org](mailto:lbeaver@uniformlaws.org)> wrote:

Hi Michele,

I spoke with the Reporter and Chair for UIFSA (2008) drafting committee and confirmed that, as you suspected, the use of the term "extradition" in Section 801 is indeed a relic from prior versions of the act and it is unclear why the this term was used here. The charge of the drafting committee in 2008 was to implement the Convention. The committee was not free to make changes in the rest of the existing act.

To the extent that Vermont is inclined to amend its current version of UIFSA to replace "extradition" with "rendition", this would not create an issue with "uniformity" from a ULC perspective. However, I cannot speak for federal OCSE with regards to the "verbatim" requirement.

I hope that this is helpful. If I can provide any additional information or assistance, please let me know.

Best,

Lindsay

**Lindsay Beaver**

Legislative Counsel

Uniform Law Commission

111 N. Wabash Avenue, Suite 1010  
Chicago, IL 60602  
Office: (312) 450-6618  
[lbeaver@uniformlaws.org](mailto:lbeaver@uniformlaws.org)

**From:** Lindsay Beaver

**Sent:** Friday, March 20, 2015 11:04 AM

**To:** Richard Cassidy; Michele Childs

**Cc:** [robin.arnell@state.vt.us](mailto:robin.arnell@state.vt.us); Matthew Yorzinski; Lindsay Beaver

**Subject:** RE: H.86; Vermont UIFSA

Michele,

Apologies for the delay. You raised a very interesting question and I am still working on running down an answer for you in time for next week's vote.

Best,

Lindsay

**Lindsay Beaver**

Legislative Counsel

Uniform Law Commission

111 N. Wabash Avenue, Suite 1010

Chicago, IL 60602

Office: (312) 450-6618

[lbeaver@uniformlaws.org](mailto:lbeaver@uniformlaws.org)

**From:** Richard Cassidy [<mailto:RCassidy@hoffcurtis.com>]

**Sent:** Friday, March 20, 2015 10:58 AM

**To:** Michele Childs; Lindsay Beaver

**Cc:** [robin.arnell@state.vt.us](mailto:robin.arnell@state.vt.us); Matthew Yorzinski

**Subject:** RE: H.86; Vermont UIFSA

**Michele,**

**Lindsay is working on it. I feel confident we will get you an answer before Wednesday.**

**Rich**

**From:** Michele Childs [<mailto:MCHILDS@leg.state.vt.us>]

**Sent:** Friday, March 20, 2015 11:28 AM

**To:** Lindsay Beaver

**Cc:** Richard Cassidy; [robin.arnell@state.vt.us](mailto:robin.arnell@state.vt.us); Matthew Yorzinski

**Subject:** RE: H.86; Vermont UIFSA

Senate Judiciary hopes to vote this out next Friday, March 27. Can someone get back to me with an answer by Wednesday?

Michele R. Childs, Esq.

Legislative Counsel, Vermont Office of Legislative Council

Senate and House Committees on Judiciary

(802)828-2231

**From:** Lindsay Beaver [<mailto:lbeaver@uniformlaws.org>]

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:10 PM

**To:** Michele Childs

**Cc:** [rcassidy@hoffcurtis.com](mailto:rcassidy@hoffcurtis.com); [robin.arnell@state.vt.us](mailto:robin.arnell@state.vt.us); Matthew Yorzinski

**Subject:** Re: H.86; Vermont UIFSA

Michele,

Thank you for your email. I am working on obtaining an answer to your question.

More to come.

Best,  
Lindsay  
Lindsay Beaver  
Legislative Counsel  
Uniform Law Commission  
[111 N. Wabash Avenue, Suite 1010](http://111.N.Wabash.Avenue.Suite.1010)  
[Chicago, IL 60602](http://Chicago.IL.60602)  
Office: [\(312\) 450-6618](tel:3124506618)  
[lbeaver@uniformlaws.org](mailto:lbeaver@uniformlaws.org)

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 17, 2015, at 5:31 PM, "Michele Childs" <[MCHILDS@leg.state.vt.us](mailto:MCHILDS@leg.state.vt.us)> wrote:

Hi all,

Senate Judiciary took up the bill today and wondered why the term "extradition" is used under the section (801 in model act) for "rendition." I recognize they mean slightly different things, but it appears as though it is being used as a synonym. The notes from the 2001 amendments seem to indicate it may be a relic from the 1968 law, and Vermont's 1996 adoption of UIFSA uses the same term. Can you help us understand? The version considered by the committee is attached.

Thanks,

Michele

Michele R. Childs, Esq.

Legislative Counsel, Vermont Office of Legislative Council

Senate and House Committees on Judiciary

(802)828-2231

<GENERAL-#305883-v1-H\_86\_-\_2015\_-\_As\_Passed\_by\_House\_-\_the\_Uniform\_Interstate\_Family\_Support\_Act.DOC>

Maxine Grad, Chair  
House Judiciary Committee  
House of Representatives  
Montpelier, VT 05633