

CONFIDENTIAL
LEGISLATIVE BILL REVIEW FORM: 2016

Bill Number: S.190 Name of Bill: Maintaining Prescription Drugs Outside the original prescription container

Agency/ Dept: VDH Author of Bill Review: Dawn Philibert/David Englander

Date of Bill Review: 4.21.16 Related Bills and Key Players _____

Status of Bill: (check one): Upon Introduction As passed by 1st body As passed by both

Recommended Position:

Support Oppose Remain Neutral Support with modifications identified in #8 below

Analysis of Bill

- 1. Summary of bill and issue it addresses.** *Describe what the bill is intended to accomplish and why.*
This bill would amend 18 V.S.A. § 4216 to allow an individual for whom a regulated drug is prescribed to carry up to a 14-day supply of a benchmark legal dose of a drug outside of its prescription container. Essentially, this would allow individuals who travel to carry their prescribed drugs in a more convenient manner than carrying prescription bottles.
- 2. Is there a need for this bill?** *Please explain why or why not.*
It would address a legal barrier to convenient packing of regulated drugs for short-term travel. A plain reading of the law would allow enforcement against a person holding several days of prescription outside the original container. This bill relieves that possibility.
- 3. What are likely to be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for this Department?**
None.
- 4. What might be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for other departments in state government, and what is likely to be their perspective on it?**
It would allow people who are prescribed regulated drugs to carry more than 2 days' worth of a regulated drugs when they travel if they meet the requirements of Section 1 (b) of the bill. The requirements are reasonable.
- 5. What might be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for others, and what is likely to be their perspective on it?** *(for example, public, municipalities, organizations, business, regulated entities, etc)*
Same as #4.
- 6. Other Stakeholders:**

Please return this bill review as a Microsoft Word document to Jahala.Dudley@vermont.gov & Jessica.Mishaan@vermont.gov

6.1 Who else is likely to support the proposal and why? No vocal support as it is merely fixing a potential issue.

6.2 Who else is likely to oppose the proposal and why? No party voiced opposition.

7. Rationale for recommendation: *Justify recommendation stated above.*
Stated above.

8. Specific modifications that would be needed to recommend support of this bill: *Not meant to rewrite bill, but rather, an opportunity to identify simple modifications that would change recommended position.*
None

9. Will this bill create a new board or commission AND/OR add or remove appointees to an existing one? If so, which one and how many? No

Secretary/Commissioner has reviewed this document: _____ **Date:** _____