



**Board of Allied Mental Health Practitioners**  
**Office of Professional Regulation, Vermont Secretary of State**

89 Main Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor • Montpelier, VT 05620-3402  
Tel. (802) 828-2390 - [www.sec.state.vt.us/professional-regulation.aspx](http://www.sec.state.vt.us/professional-regulation.aspx)

UNAPPROVED MINUTES OF THE  
VERMONT BOARD OF ALLIED MENTAL HEALTH PRACTITIONERS  
FEBRUARY 17, 2022 – REMOTE MEETING

Board members Present: Tammy Austin, Lynn Irwin, Shawn Waldron, and Carol Smith.

Office Staff Present: Lauren Layman, Gabriel Gilman and Diane Lafaille.

Others Present: Amanda Perez

1. The meeting was called to order at 9:04 a.m.
2. **Minutes** Mr. Irwin moved, seconded by Ms. Smith, to approve the minutes of the January 20, 2022, meeting as written. Approved.
3. Hearings
  - a. 2021-33 – Amanda Perez – Continued to the March or April 2022 meeting
  - b. 2021-114 – Caitlin Mitchell – Hearing to be held at the Boards March 17, 2022 meeting.
4. Other
  - a. Attorney Layman updated the Board on pending Legislation.
  - b. Antioch DMT MOU – The Board will review and make a formal decision on approval of this MOU at its March meeting.

5. Applications

Ms. Smith moved, seconded by Mr. Waldron, to approve actions taken by the Board in the following applications:

Durgin, Christy - Endorsement from New Hampshire. The Board found that the standards and qualifications for licensure in New Hampshire are not substantially equivalent to Vermont's standards and qualifications for licensure because New Hampshire does not require any post-degree, supervised practice hours to be direct service practice, requires only the passage of the NCMHCE, and does not require a 3 credit graduate course in Diagnosis, Assessment and Treatment. The Board determined that the applicant is not eligible for licensure based on endorsement from another state. The applicant may apply on the basis of examination.



Gajowski, Carrie – MFT – Endorsement from California. The Board found that the standards and qualifications for licensure in California are not substantially equivalent to Vermont's standards and qualifications for licensure because California does not require the AAMFT National Examination and the supervised practice requirements are not substantially equivalent to Vermont's. The Board determined that the applicant is not eligible for licensure based on endorsement from another state. The applicant may apply on the basis of examination.

Garcilazo, Griselda – Additional information is needed.

Little, Rachael – The Board finds that she needs a 3 credit graduate course in Family Systems to meet the educational requirements.

Monge, Elizabeth - Endorsement from North Carolina. The Board found that the standards and qualifications for licensure in North Carolina are not substantially equivalent to Vermont's standards and qualifications for licensure because North Carolina does not require a 3 credit graduate course in Diagnosis, Assessment and Treatment, a 700 hour internship, or 100 hours of post-degree supervision. The Board determined that the applicant is not eligible for licensure based on endorsement from another state. The applicant may apply on the basis of examination.

Ramesh, Priyanka - Endorsement from New Jersey. The Board found that the standards and qualifications for licensure in New Jersey are not substantially equivalent to Vermont's standards and qualifications for licensure because there is no requirement that the applicant complete a graduate level course in Diagnosis, Assessment and Treatment. The Board determined and that the applicant is not eligible for licensure based on endorsement from another state. The applicant may apply on the basis of examination.

6. The Board's next meeting is scheduled for March 17, 2022.
7. The Board adjourned at 11:48 a.m.