

**From:** Springer, Darren [Darren.Springer@state.vt.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 05, 2015 8:00 PM  
**To:** Christopher Bray  
**Subject:** Re: amendment #2 of 4, Bray on litigation cost recovery

Just took a look at this one. My take is if you approve the new Campbell amendment 2.4 it is clear that screening provisions offered by locality will be decided within 248 process by PSB, instead of litigation, and that should make cost recovery for an applicant moot.

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 5, 2015, at 7:26 PM, Christopher Bray <cbray@sover.net> wrote:  
>  
> Ditto---  
> your thoughts please  
>  
> <GENERAL-#308973-v1-H\_40;\_Bray;\_solar\_setbacks;\_attorneys\_fees.doc>