

CONFIDENTIAL
LEGISLATIVE BILL REVIEW FORM: 2014

Bill Number: S 283 Name of Bill: An act relating to the changing of the name of the Vermont Criminal Information Center

Agency/Dept: DPS/CJS/VCIC Author of Bill Review: Jeffrey Wallin

Date of Bill Review: 5/8/2014 Status of Bill: (check one):

 Upon Introduction As passed by 1st body X As passed by both bodies

Recommended Position:

 X Support Oppose Remain Neutral Support with modifications identified in # 8 below

Analysis of Bill

1. Summary of bill and issue it addresses. *Describe what the bill is intended to accomplish and why.*

This bill proposed to change the name of the Vermont Criminal Information Center to the Vermont Crime Information Center

2. Is there a need for this bill? *Please explain why or why not.*

There is inconsistent naming for the Center throughout statute. This, in turn, impacts both the Center and the various entities (local, state, and federal) that interact with the Center. Resolving this inconsistency will provide both uniformity and clearer indication of what function the Center serves (i.e. information on multiple aspects of Crime is retained instead of information relating only to individual Criminals).

3. What are likely to be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for this Department?

As this is essentially a technical clarification that does not change the role or responsibility of the Center, none is anticipated.

4. What might be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for other departments in state government, and what is likely to be their perspective on it?

As this is essentially a technical clarification that does not change the role or responsibilities of the Center, none is anticipated.

5. What might be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for others, and what is likely to be their perspective on it? (for example, public, municipalities, organizations, business, regulated entities, etc)

As this is essentially a technical clarification that does not change the role or responsibilities of the Center, none is anticipated.

6. Other Stakeholders:

6.1 Who else is likely to support the proposal and why?

As this is essentially a technical clarification that does not change the role or responsibilities of the Center, none is anticipated.

6.2 Who else is likely to oppose the proposal and why?

As this is essentially a technical clarification that does not change the role or responsibilities of the Center, none is anticipated.

7. Rationale for recommendation: *Justify recommendation stated above.*

Please return this bill review as a Microsoft Word or PDF document to laura.gray@state.vt.us

This bill will provide necessary clarity to various constituencies (public, law enforcement, government and private sector) of the functions managed by the Center while requiring no extensive re-branding or related fiscal expense.

- 8. Specific modifications that would be needed to recommend support of this bill:** *Not meant to rewrite bill, but rather, an opportunity to identify simple modifications that would change recommended position.*

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "Laura Gray", is written over a faint rectangular stamp.

Secretary/Commissioner has reviewed this document

Date: 5/19/14

Please return this bill review as a Microsoft Word or PDF document to laura.gray@state.vt.us