

**From:** Copans, Jon [Jon.Copans@vermont.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 08, 2016 11:21 PM  
**To:** Springer, Darren; Christopher Bray  
**CC:** Brian Campion; Claire Ayer; Virginia Lyons; Margaret Cheney  
**Subject:** RE: caucus tomorrow

Senator,

I also will be at the statehouse and will make myself available as needed.

Thanks,

Jon

Jon Copans, Deputy Commissioner  
Vermont Public Service Department  
(802) 828-3088 or by cell at (802) 249-5199  
jon.copans@vermont.gov  
Visit the Department at: <http://publicservice.vermont.gov/>

-----Original Message-----

From: Springer, Darren  
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 10:59 PM  
To: Christopher Bray <cbray@sover.net>  
Cc: Copans, Jon <Jon.Copans@vermont.gov>; Brian Campion <campionvt@gmail.com>; Claire Ayer <senatorayer@gmavt.net>; Virginia Lyons <senatorginnylyons@gmail.com>; Margaret Cheney <margaret.cheney@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: caucus tomorrow

Thanks Senator Bray,  
I'll be in the ceremonial office starting around 8:30am. I am at you and your colleagues disposal whenever and however I can be helpful. I'll plan to be available during caucus.  
See you tomorrow,  
Darren

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jun 8, 2016, at 10:55 PM, Christopher Bray <cbray@sover.net> wrote:  
>  
> Darren and Jon,  
>  
> I am hoping that in caucus tomorrow, running from approx 10:15 to 11:15? — before we've voted anything on the floor—that the two of you will join us to help explain the governor's concerns.  
>  
> In addition, while I am not yet sure how the PSB will respond—due to the concerns about coming into such a political process— it's my hope that Margaret or Sarah plus June Tierney will also attend caucus to present the concerns voiced in the PSB memo I shared with all members this afternoon.  
>  
> It's essential that the caucus understand the concerns of administrators charged with the implementation of S.230. Legislators need not agree with the analyses of the PSB, but must merely acknowledge that the PSB's interpretation is a reasonable one—and when two lawyers, acting reasonably, come to different conclusions, then the underlying law must be allowing for this through some sort of ambiguity. If we can eliminate the ambiguity, we can draw everyone to a shared understanding and course of action.  
>

> I think it will be helpful to remind legislators that the governor has already reviewed the new language is guaranteeing he'll sign it — that is, it is a SURE fix.

>

> Please confirm if you can attend.

>

> Best,

> —Chris

>

>