

CONFIDENTIAL
LEGISLATIVE BILL REVIEW FORM: 2016

Bill Number: S.225 Name of Bill: An Act relating to miscellaneous changes to laws related to motor vehicles

Agency/Dept: DPS / VSP Author of Bill Review: Sgt. Mark A. Perkins(mark.perkins@vermont.gov)

Date of Bill Review: 01/25/2016 Related Bills and Key Players: Sen. Mazza & Sen. Westman

Status of Bill: (check one)

Upon Introduction As passed by 1st body As passed by both bodies

Recommended Position:

Support Oppose Remain Neutral Support with modifications identified in # 8 below

Analysis of Bill

1. Summary of bill and issue it addresses. *Describe what the bill is intended to accomplish and why.*
This bill proposes to: (1) eliminate the requirement that a vehicle or motorboat not be registered in order to count as a sale or exchange toward qualifying as a dealer; (2) repeal the authority of the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles to enter into contracts with electronic permitting services for issuance of single-trip overweight and over dimension vehicle permits; (3) repeal special registration fees for log-haulers and diesel-powered pleasure cars and make a related conforming change; (4) repeal a provision directing the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles to negotiate an interstate compact for the implementation of uniform truck annual user license fees

2. Is there a need for this bill? *Please explain why or why not.*
Yes, this bill has been introduced to clean up/remove portions of law pertaining to permitting that is no longer applicable or being used/required in the State. The portion of the bill pertaining to the dealer definition addresses the requirement of a vehicle or motorboat not needing to be registered to count as a sale or exchange to count as a sale, is needed to target illegal dealers in the state who are avoiding the correct process for vehicle sales.

3. What are likely to be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for this Department?
None

4. What might be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for other departments in state government, and what is likely to be their perspective on it?
There will be no financial implications of this bill, in fact the bill may increase revenue for the state as it is targeting the illegal dealers of motor vehicles and or boats in the State.

5. What might be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for others, and what is likely to be their perspective on it? (for example, public, municipalities, organizations, business, regulated entities, etc)

The only member of the public whom will be impacted is the illegal dealers of vehicles or motorboats. The majority of the bill is cleaning up/removing sections of law permitting that either is no longer exists or is not being used in the State. Illegal dealers will be affected as they will have to follow the correct process for a sale of a motor vehicle or boat, thus having to pay taxes, etc.

6. Other Stakeholders:

6.1 Who else is likely to support the proposal and why?

VT Department of Motor Vehicles supports this bill as this is cleaning up/removing portions of law pertaining to permitting that is no longer applicable or being used/required in the State. It is also addressing the issue of illegal dealers of motor vehicles and boats in the state to bring them into compliance.

6.2 Who else is likely to oppose the proposal and why?

The illegal dealers of motor vehicles and boats in the State will more than likely be in opposition of this bill. If the bill passes, they will be required to be complaint with the sale of vehicles and pay the required taxes for said sales.

7. Rationale for recommendation: *Justify recommendation stated above.*

This bill has been introduced to clean up/remove portions of law pertaining to permitting that is no longer applicable or being used/required in the State. A portion of the bill pertaining to the dealer definition addresses the requirement of a vehicle or motorboat not be registered to count as a sale or exchange, is needed to target illegal dealers in the state who are avoiding the correct process for vehicle sales. There is no cost involved with passage of this bill to the State of Vermont. In fact the passage of this bill will bring revenue into the state by bringing dealers of vehicles who have been circumventing the correct manner in which to sell a vehicle into compliance thus paying taxes to the state.

8. Specific modifications that would be needed to recommend support of this bill: *Not meant to rewrite bill, but rather, an opportunity to identify simple modifications that would change recommended position.*

None

9. Will this bill create a new board or commission AND/OR add or remove appointees to an existing one? If so, which one and how many?

N/A

Secretary/Commissioner has reviewed this document



Date: 2/1/16