

From: Squirrell, Sarah
Sent: Wednesday, May 1, 2019 9:18 AM
To: Anne Donahue
Subject: RE: THIS JUST CAME UP FOR VOTE TODAY Fw: GENERAL-#341372-v1-S_31;_HHC_draft_strike-all_amendment

Hi Anne,

I don't have any significant concerns around this, makes sense from my perspective and will help inform the GMCB more broadly on our mental health system of care.

Thanks,
Sarah

Sarah Squirrell, MS
Commissioner, Department of Mental Health



280 State Drive, NOB 2 North
Waterbury, VT 05671-2010

From: Anne Donahue
Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2019 1:33 PM
To: Squirrell, Sarah
Subject: Re: THIS JUST CAME UP FOR VOTE TODAY Fw: GENERAL-#341372-v1-S_31;_HHC_draft_strike-all_amendment

Note further that the list of data says "MAY" include, so things that may be inapplicable to VCPH ... would not apply.

From: Anne Donahue
Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2019 1:15:35 PM
To: Squirrell, Sarah
Subject: THIS JUST CAME UP FOR VOTE TODAY Fw: GENERAL-#341372-v1-S_31;_HHC_draft_strike-all_amendment

Not an intended surprise, but brought up by another committee member. Section 2 is where we first thought about bringing the Retreat into GMCB budget review process. This was too big a lift, and the Retreat does have a lot of distinct budget aspects (not the least of which is only a 15% commercial payer base.) That was drafted to exclude VPCH, for obvious reasons. Now that it has become purely a data gathering -- to help ensure a full picture of hospital inpatient care across all hospitals -- it was suggested that it no longer makes sense to exclude VPCH.

Can you look at the language and see if you agree? (integration!)

Thanks,
Anne