

CONFIDENTIAL
LEGISLATIVE BILL REVIEW FORM: 2016

Bill Number: H.629 Name of Bill: Amend statutes related to the administrative and Issuance of vital records

Agency/Dept: DPS/VSP Author of Bill Review: Lt. Brian Miller

Date of Bill Review: 01.26.16 Related Bills and Key Players: _____

Status of Bill: (check one)

X Upon Introduction _____ As passed by 1st body _____ As passed by both bodies

Recommended Position:

 Support Oppose X Remain Neutral Support with modifications identified in # 8 below

Analysis of Bill

1. **Summary of bill and issue it addresses.** *This bill creates the statewide collection and oversight of vital records.*
2. **Is there a need for this bill?** The duties listed in this bill are currently being conducted by local municipalities. This bill puts all of these records into a central state agency
3. **What are likely to be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for this Department?** DPS will be required to furnish lists of missing and kidnapped children to the Office of vital records.
4. **What might be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for other departments in state government, and what is likely to be their perspective on it?** Unknown
5. **What might be the fiscal and programmatic implications of this bill for others, and what is likely to be their perspective on it?** *(for example, public, municipalities, organizations, business, regulated entities, etc) Local municipalities may not want to release these duties to a state entity.*
6. **Other Stakeholders:**
 - 6.1 Who else is likely to support the proposal and why? Those who want all records held in one central state run location.
 - 6.2 Who else is likely to oppose the proposal and why? Those who advocate for local government individuality and want to maintain their own records.

7. **Rationale for recommendation:** This bill will allow the dept of vital records to regulate and maintain all vital records. This bill does not have an adverse impact on DPS
8. **Specific modifications that would be needed to recommend support of this bill:** *Not meant to rewrite bill, but rather, an opportunity to identify simple modifications that would change recommended position.*
9. **Will this bill create a new board or commission AND/OR add or remove appointees to an existing one?**
If so, which one and how many? NO

Secretary/Commissioner has reviewed this document



Date: 2/1/16