

**From:** Bushman, Steve

**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2015 11:50 AM

**To:** Blatt, Eric

**Subject:** Answers to Questions from Representative Emmons, Chair, House Committee on Corrections and Institutions

Eric,

I spoke with Paul Tumminello of the Corps today pertaining to the follow up questions asked at the February 6<sup>th</sup> testimony.

The questions as I remember them and the answers are:

1. Have you ever had a situation where a Project Cooperative Agreement (PCA) was in effect and responsibility changed hands?  
Answer: Yes. The two Rhode Island Projects (Woonsocket local protection project and the Fox Point Hurricane Barrier)
2. Would the funding responsibility of the Non-Federal partner cease upon transfer?  
Answer: Yes, as long as the Federal legislation authorizing the transfer is worded such that the Corps assumes fiscal responsibility.
3. Would the Non-Federal partner be responsible for their obligated cost share up to the point of transfer, or would the Federal partner reimburse the Non-Federal share?  
Answer: This depends on the statutory language. Paul gave the example of Hurricane Sandy projects where the Congress authorized 100% Federal cost share on projects with ongoing PCAs. In this case the projects weren't transferred but the cost obligations were.
4. Can the PCA be conditioned to protect the Non-Federal partner, i.e. if the project is not completed before transfer, the Non-Federal partner is not obligated for their share of the project cost.  
Answer: In the Sandy Projects, the Non-Federal share already spent was not reimbursed. Specific Federal legislation would be needed to reimburse cost share money already spent.

Stephen Bushman, P.E.  
Dam Safety Engineer  
Department of Environmental Conservation  
1 National Life Drive, 1 Main  
Montpelier, VT 05620

802-490-6229