

**From:** Copans, Jon [Jon.Copans@vermont.gov]  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 13, 2016 11:06 AM  
**To:** Springer, Darren  
**Subject:** Re: Conf priv - What is with the item about restricting net metering in New Haven in weekly?

Right. Nothing to do with new rule.

Sent from my iPhone

> On Feb 13, 2016, at 11:01 AM, Springer, Darren <Darren.Springer@vermont.gov> wrote:

>

> So not about stopping net metering in 2017, but about current cap? If so I am not concerned. I read it as taking them out of NM going forward.

>

> Sent from my iPhone

>

>> On Feb 13, 2016, at 10:58 AM, Copans, Jon <Jon.Copans@vermont.gov> wrote:

>>

>> Probably easiest to just get on the phone. I could call in 5 minutes if that works.

>> New Haven Atty Cindy Hill has intervened in the GMP NM cap proceeding. Her only interest is 2 150's in New Haven that suncommon submitted after the cap. Suncommon has about 20 projects they are hoping to get into GMPs proposed 7.5 mw of additional 150's.

>> Generally the proceeding is dragging on and perpetuating uncertainty for developers. This could be ripe for settlement but we are of course proceeding with caution. Not worth making enemies over. We hope to have some read of what the board envisions for additional process late next week and that will inform our approach.

>>

>> Sent from my iPhone

>>

>>> On Feb 13, 2016, at 10:26 AM, Springer, Darren <Darren.Springer@vermont.gov> wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> Sent from my iPhone