

From: Christopher Bray [cbray@sover.net]
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 10:55 PM
To: Margaret Cheney
CC: Brian Campion; Claire Ayer; Virginia Lyons; Springer, Darren; Copans, Jon
Subject: caucus tomorrow

Margaret,

I hope you have talked with your colleagues and Darren and have concluded that you can, in person, interpret and explain the memo that I circulated today. You need not respond in any way to the veto discussion directly — but simply help legislators understand that the PSB is charged with interpreting and implementing the law — and that your interpretation is different than what legislators have expressed as their intent.

No legislator need choose sides; each must simply acknowledge that there are conflicting reasonable interpretations.

It would also be very helpful if you could also indicate that you have reviewed the new proposed language and you find, based on your understanding of legislative intent, that it would enable you to write rules that would

- (1) be temporary and have no implication of invoking an emergency; and
- (2) allow for practical and logical distinctions to be made in sound levels between large and small projects.

Please let me know if you can attend. I can't be precise, but I believe that the caucus will meet from approximately 10:15 to 11:15/30 — and I think we will be in Room 10, but I am not sure what has been reserved.

Best,
—Chris